

(12) **United States Patent**
Fullerton et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,111,672 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Aug. 18, 2015**

(54) **MULTILEVEL CORRELATED MAGNETIC SYSTEM**

(71) Applicant: **Correlated Magnetics Research, LLC.**,
New Hope, AL (US)

(72) Inventors: **Larry W. Fullerton**, New Hope, AL
(US); **Mark D. Roberts**, Huntsville, AL
(US)

(73) Assignee: **CORRELATED MAGNETICS
RESEARCH LLC.**, New Hope, AL
(US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this
patent is extended or adjusted under 35
U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/578,349**

(22) Filed: **Dec. 20, 2014**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2015/0102880 A1 Apr. 16, 2015

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 14/061,956, filed on
Oct. 24, 2013, now Pat. No. 8,947,185, which is a
continuation of application No. 13/892,246, filed on
May 11, 2013, now Pat. No. 8,570,130, which is a

(Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**
H01H 7/02 (2006.01)
H01F 7/02 (2006.01)
H01F 7/20 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **H01F 7/0231** (2013.01); **H01F 7/0252**
(2013.01); **H01F 7/0263** (2013.01); **H01F 7/20**
(2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC H01F 7/0231; H01F 7/0252; H01F 7/0263
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

93,931 A	8/1869	Westcott
342,666 A	5/1886	Williams
361,248 A	4/1887	Winton
400,809 A	4/1889	Van Depoele
405,109 A	5/1889	Williams
450,543 A	4/1891	Van Depoele
493,858 A	3/1893	Edison

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN	1615573 A	5/2005
DE	2938782 A1	4/1981

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

United States Office Action issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/470,994
dated Aug. 8, 2013.

(Continued)



Primary Examiner — Ramon Barrera

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — William J. Tucker

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A multilevel magnetic system described herein includes first
and second magnetic structures that produce a net force that
transitions from an attract force to a repel force as a separation
distance between the first and second magnetic structures
increases. The multi-level magnetic system is configured to
maintain a minimum separation distance between a transition
distance where the net force is zero and a separation distance
at which a peak repel force is produced.

24 Claims, 48 Drawing Sheets



Related U.S. Application Data

continuation of application No. 13/465,001, filed on May 6, 2012, now Pat. No. 8,471,658, which is a continuation of application No. 13/179,759, filed on Jul. 11, 2011, now Pat. No. 8,174,347, and a continuation-in-part of application No. 12/885,450, filed on Sep. 18, 2010, now Pat. No. 7,982,568.

(60) Provisional application No. 61/399,448, filed on Jul. 12, 2010.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

675,323	A	5/1901	Clark	2,570,625	A	10/1951	Zimmerman et al.
687,292	A	11/1901	Armstrong	2,640,955	A	6/1953	Fisher
996,933	A	7/1911	Lindquist	2,690,349	A	9/1954	Teetor
1,024,418	A	4/1912	Podlesak	2,694,164	A	11/1954	Geppelt
1,081,462	A	12/1913	Patton	2,694,613	A	11/1954	Williams
1,171,351	A	2/1916	Neuland	2,701,158	A	2/1955	Schmitt
1,180,489	A	4/1916	Geist	2,722,617	A	11/1955	Cluwen et al.
1,184,056	A	5/1916	Deventer	2,740,946	A	4/1956	Geneslay
1,236,234	A	8/1917	Troje	2,770,759	A	11/1956	Ahlgren
1,252,289	A	1/1918	Murray, Jr.	2,787,719	A	4/1957	Thomas
1,290,190	A	1/1919	Herrick	2,820,411	A	1/1958	Park
1,301,135	A	4/1919	Karasick	2,825,863	A	3/1958	Krupen
1,307,342	A	6/1919	Brown	2,837,366	A	6/1958	Loeb
1,312,546	A	8/1919	Karasick	2,842,688	A	7/1958	Martin
1,323,546	A	8/1919	Karasick	2,853,331	A	9/1958	Teetor
1,554,236	A	1/1920	Simmons	2,888,291	A	5/1959	Scott et al.
1,343,751	A	6/1920	Simmons	2,896,991	A	7/1959	Martin, Jr.
1,544,010	A	6/1925	Jordan	2,900,592	A	8/1959	Baruch
1,554,254	A	9/1925	Zbinden	2,935,352	A	5/1960	Heppner
1,624,741	A	12/1926	Leppke et al.	2,935,353	A	5/1960	Loeb
1,784,256	A	12/1930	Stout	2,936,437	A	5/1960	Fraser et al.
1,785,643	A	12/1930	Noack et al.	2,959,747	A	11/1960	Challacombe et al.
1,823,326	A	9/1931	Legg	2,962,318	A	11/1960	Teetor
1,895,129	A	1/1933	Jones	3,024,374	A	3/1962	Stauder
1,975,175	A	10/1934	Scotfield	3,055,999	A	9/1962	Lucas
2,048,161	A	7/1936	Klaiber	3,089,986	A	5/1963	Gauthier
2,058,339	A	10/1936	Metzger	3,100,292	A	8/1963	Warner, Jr. et al.
2,147,482	A	12/1936	Butler	3,102,205	A	8/1963	Combs
2,111,643	A	3/1938	Salvatori	3,102,314	A	9/1963	Alderfer
2,130,213	A	9/1938	Wolf et al.	3,105,153	A	9/1963	James, Jr.
2,158,132	A	5/1939	Legg	3,149,255	A	9/1964	Trench
2,186,074	A	1/1940	Koller	3,151,902	A	10/1964	Ahlgren
2,240,035	A	4/1941	Catherall	3,204,995	A	9/1965	Teetor
2,243,555	A	5/1941	Faus	3,208,296	A	9/1965	Baermann
2,245,268	A	6/1941	Goss et al.	3,238,399	A	3/1966	Johanees et al.
2,269,149	A	1/1942	Edgar	3,273,104	A	9/1966	Krol
2,286,897	A	6/1942	Costa et al.	3,288,511	A	11/1966	Tavano
2,296,754	A	9/1942	Wolf et al.	3,301,091	A	1/1967	Reese
2,315,045	A	3/1943	Breitenstein	3,351,368	A	11/1967	Sweet
2,316,616	A	4/1943	Powell	3,382,386	A	5/1968	Schlaepi
2,327,748	A	8/1943	Smith	3,408,104	A	10/1968	Raynes
2,337,248	A	12/1943	Koller	3,414,309	A	12/1968	Tresemmer
2,337,249	A	12/1943	Koller	3,425,729	A	2/1969	Bisbing
2,362,151	A	11/1944	Ostenberg	2,932,545	A	4/1969	Foley
2,389,298	A	11/1945	Ellis	3,468,576	A	9/1969	Beyer et al.
2,401,887	A	6/1946	Sheppard	3,474,366	A	10/1969	Barney
2,409,857	A	10/1946	Hines et al.	3,496,871	A	2/1970	Stengel
2,414,653	A	1/1947	Lokholder	3,500,090	A	3/1970	Baermann
2,426,322	A	8/1947	Pridham	3,521,216	A	7/1970	Tolegian
2,438,231	A	3/1948	Shultz	3,645,650	A	2/1972	Laing
2,471,634	A	5/1949	Vennice	3,668,670	A	6/1972	Andersen
2,472,127	A	6/1949	Slason	3,684,992	A	8/1972	Huguet et al.
2,475,200	A	7/1949	Roy	3,690,393	A	9/1972	Guy
2,475,456	A	7/1949	Norlander	3,696,251	A	10/1972	Last et al.
2,483,895	A	10/1949	Fisher	3,696,258	A	10/1972	Anderson et al.
2,508,305	A	5/1950	Teetor	3,707,924	A	1/1973	Bartholon et al.
2,513,226	A	6/1950	Wylie	3,790,197	A	2/1974	Parker
2,514,927	A	7/1950	Bernhard	3,791,309	A	2/1974	Baermann
2,520,828	A	8/1950	Bertschi	3,802,034	A	4/1974	Bookless
2,540,796	A	2/1951	Stanton	3,803,433	A	4/1974	Ingenito
2,544,077	A	3/1951	Gardner	3,808,577	A	4/1974	Mathauser
2,565,624	A	8/1951	Phelon	3,836,801	A	9/1974	Yamashita et al.
				3,845,430	A	10/1974	Petkewicz et al.
				3,893,059	A	7/1975	Nowak
				3,976,316	A	8/1976	Laby
				4,079,558	A	3/1978	Forham
				4,114,305	A	9/1978	Wohlert et al.
				4,115,040	A	9/1978	Knorr
				4,117,431	A	9/1978	Eicher
				4,129,187	A	12/1978	Wengryn et al.
				4,129,846	A	12/1978	Yablochnikov
				4,140,932	A	2/1979	Wohlert
				4,209,905	A	7/1980	Gillings
				4,222,489	A	9/1980	Hutter
				4,232,535	A	11/1980	Caldwell
				4,296,394	A	10/1981	Ragheb
				4,340,833	A	7/1982	Sudo et al.
				4,352,960	A	10/1982	Dormer et al.
				4,363,980	A	12/1982	Petersen

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,399,595	A	8/1983	Yoon et al.	5,975,714	A	11/1999	Vetorino et al.
4,416,127	A	11/1983	Gomez-Olea Naveda	5,983,406	A	11/1999	Meyerrose
4,421,118	A	12/1983	Dow et al.	5,988,336	A	11/1999	Wendt et al.
4,451,811	A	5/1984	Hoffman	6,000,484	A	12/1999	Zoretich et al.
4,453,294	A	6/1984	Morita	6,039,759	A	3/2000	Carpentier et al.
4,454,426	A	6/1984	Benson	6,040,642	A	3/2000	Ishiyama
4,460,855	A	7/1984	Kelly	6,047,456	A	4/2000	Yao et al.
4,500,827	A	2/1985	Merritt et al.	6,072,251	A	6/2000	Markle
4,517,483	A	5/1985	Hucker et al.	6,074,420	A	6/2000	Eaton
4,535,278	A	8/1985	Asakawa	6,104,108	A	8/2000	Hazelton et al.
4,547,756	A	10/1985	Miller et al.	6,115,849	A	9/2000	Meyerrose
4,629,131	A	12/1986	Podell	6,118,271	A	9/2000	Ely et al.
4,645,283	A	2/1987	MacDonald et al.	6,120,283	A	9/2000	Cousins
4,649,925	A	3/1987	Dow et al.	6,125,955	A	10/2000	Zoretich et al.
4,680,494	A	7/1987	Grosjean	6,142,779	A	11/2000	Siegel et al.
381,968	A	5/1988	Tesla	6,157,100	A	12/2000	Mielke
4,767,378	A	8/1988	Obermann	6,170,131	B1	1/2001	Shin
4,785,816	A	11/1988	Dow et al.	6,181,110	B1	1/2001	Lampis
4,808,955	A	2/1989	Godkin et al.	6,187,041	B1	2/2001	Garonzik
4,814,654	A	3/1989	Gerfast	6,188,147	B1	2/2001	Hazelton et al.
4,837,539	A	6/1989	Baker	6,205,012	B1	3/2001	Lear
4,849,749	A	7/1989	Fukamachi et al.	6,210,033	B1	4/2001	Karkos, Jr. et al.
4,856,631	A	8/1989	Okamoto et al.	6,224,374	B1	5/2001	Mayo
4,912,727	A	3/1990	Schubert	6,234,833	B1	5/2001	Tsai et al.
4,924,123	A	5/1990	Hamajima et al.	6,273,918	B1	8/2001	Yuhasz et al.
4,941,236	A	7/1990	Sherman et al.	6,275,778	B1	8/2001	Shimada et al.
4,956,625	A	9/1990	Cardone et al.	6,285,097	B1	9/2001	Hazelton et al.
4,980,593	A	12/1990	Edmundson	6,313,551	B1	11/2001	Hazelton
4,993,950	A	2/1991	Mensor, Jr.	6,313,552	B1	11/2001	Boast
4,996,457	A	2/1991	Hawsey et al.	6,387,096	B1	5/2002	Hyde, Jr.
5,013,949	A	5/1991	Mabe, Jr.	6,422,533	B1	7/2002	Harms
5,020,625	A	6/1991	Yamauchi et al.	6,457,179	B1	10/2002	Prendergast
5,050,276	A	9/1991	Pemberton	6,467,326	B1	10/2002	Garrigus
5,062,855	A	11/1991	Rincoe	6,478,681	B1	11/2002	Overaker et al.
5,123,843	A	6/1992	Van der Zel et al.	6,517,560	B1	2/2003	Toth et al.
5,139,383	A	8/1992	Polyak et al.	6,540,515	B1	4/2003	Tanaka
5,179,307	A	1/1993	Porter	6,561,815	B1	5/2003	Schmidt
5,190,325	A	3/1993	Doss-Desouza	6,599,321	B2	7/2003	Hyde, Jr.
5,302,929	A	4/1994	Kovacs	6,607,304	B1	8/2003	Lake et al.
5,309,680	A	5/1994	Kiel	6,608,540	B1	8/2003	Hones et al.
5,345,207	A	9/1994	Gebele	6,652,278	B2	11/2003	Honkura et al.
5,347,186	A	9/1994	Konotchick	6,653,919	B2	11/2003	Shih-Chung et al.
5,349,258	A	9/1994	Leupold et al.	6,720,698	B2	4/2004	Galbraith
5,367,891	A	11/1994	Furuyama	6,747,537	B1	6/2004	Mosteller
5,383,049	A	1/1995	Carr	6,768,230	B2	7/2004	Cheung et al.
5,394,132	A	2/1995	Poill	6,821,126	B2	11/2004	Neidlein
5,396,140	A	3/1995	Goldie et al.	6,841,910	B2	1/2005	Gery
5,425,763	A	6/1995	Stemmann	6,842,332	B1	1/2005	Rubenson et al.
5,434,549	A	7/1995	Hirabayashi et al.	6,847,134	B2	1/2005	Frissen et al.
5,440,997	A	8/1995	Crowley	6,850,139	B1	2/2005	Dettmann et al.
5,452,663	A	9/1995	Berdut	6,862,748	B2	3/2005	Prendergast
5,461,386	A	10/1995	Knebelkamp	6,913,471	B2	7/2005	Smith
5,485,435	A	1/1996	Matsuda et al.	6,927,657	B1	8/2005	Wu
5,492,572	A	2/1996	Schroeder et al.	6,936,937	B2	8/2005	Tu et al.
5,495,221	A	2/1996	Post	6,950,279	B2	9/2005	Sasaki et al.
5,512,732	A	4/1996	Yagnik et al.	6,952,060	B2	10/2005	Goldner et al.
5,570,084	A	10/1996	Ritter et al.	6,954,938	B2	10/2005	Emberty et al.
5,582,522	A	12/1996	Johnson	6,954,968	B1	10/2005	Sitbon
5,604,960	A	2/1997	Good	6,971,147	B2	12/2005	Halstead
5,631,093	A	5/1997	Perry et al.	7,009,874	B2	3/2006	Deak
5,631,618	A	5/1997	Trumper et al.	7,016,492	B2	3/2006	Pan et al.
5,633,555	A	5/1997	Ackermann et al.	7,031,160	B2	4/2006	Tillotson
5,635,889	A	6/1997	Stelter	7,033,400	B2	4/2006	Currier
5,637,972	A	6/1997	Randall et al.	7,065,860	B2	6/2006	Aoki et al.
5,650,681	A	7/1997	DeLerno	7,066,739	B2	6/2006	McLeish
5,730,155	A	3/1998	Allen	7,066,778	B2	6/2006	Kretzschmar
5,759,054	A	6/1998	Spadafore	7,097,461	B2	8/2006	Neidlein
5,788,493	A	8/1998	Tanaka et al.	7,101,374	B2	9/2006	Hyde, Jr.
5,789,878	A	8/1998	Kroeker et al.	7,134,452	B2	11/2006	Hiroshi et al.
5,818,132	A	10/1998	Konotchick	7,135,792	B2	11/2006	Devaney et al.
5,852,393	A	12/1998	Reznik et al.	7,137,727	B2	11/2006	Joseph et al.
5,902,185	A	5/1999	Kubiak et al.	7,186,265	B2	3/2007	Sharkawy et al.
5,921,357	A	7/1999	Starkovich et al.	7,224,252	B2	5/2007	Meadow, Jr. et al.
5,935,155	A	8/1999	Humayun et al.	7,264,479	B1	9/2007	Lee
5,956,778	A	9/1999	Godoy	7,276,025	B2	10/2007	Roberts et al.
				7,309,934	B2	12/2007	Tu et al.
				7,311,526	B2	12/2007	Rohrbach et al.
				7,339,790	B2	3/2008	Baker et al.
				7,344,380	B2	3/2008	Neidlein et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

7,351,066	B2	4/2008	DiFonzo et al.	8,576,034	B2	11/2013	Bilbrey et al.
7,358,724	B2	4/2008	Taylor et al.	8,586,410	B2	11/2013	Arnold et al.
7,362,018	B1	4/2008	Kulogo et al.	8,616,362	B1	12/2013	Browne et al.
7,364,433	B2	4/2008	Neidlein	8,648,679	B2	2/2014	Lauder et al.
7,381,181	B2	6/2008	Lau et al.	8,665,044	B2	3/2014	Lauder et al.
7,402,175	B2	7/2008	Azar	8,665,045	B2	3/2014	Lauder et al.
7,416,414	B2	8/2008	Bozzone et al.	8,690,582	B2	4/2014	Rohrbach et al.
7,438,726	B2	10/2008	Erb	8,702,316	B2	4/2014	DiFonzo et al.
7,444,683	B2	11/2008	Prendergast et al.	8,734,024	B2	5/2014	Isenhour et al.
7,453,341	B1	11/2008	Hildenbrand	8,752,200	B2	6/2014	Varshavsky et al.
7,467,948	B2	12/2008	Lindberg et al.	8,757,893	B1	6/2014	Isenhour et al.
7,498,914	B2	3/2009	Miyashita et al.	8,770,857	B2	7/2014	DiFonzo et al.
7,583,500	B2	9/2009	Ligtenberg et al.	8,774,577	B2	7/2014	Benjamin et al.
7,628,173	B2	12/2009	Rosko et al.	8,781,273	B2	7/2014	Benjamin et al.
7,637,746	B2	12/2009	Lindberg et al.	2002/0125977	A1	9/2002	VanZoest
7,645,143	B2	1/2010	Rohrbach et al.	2003/0170976	A1	9/2003	Molla et al.
7,658,613	B1	2/2010	Griffin et al.	2003/0179880	A1	9/2003	Pan et al.
7,688,036	B2	3/2010	Yarger et al.	2003/0187510	A1	10/2003	Hyde
7,762,817	B2	7/2010	Ligtenberg et al.	2004/0003487	A1	1/2004	Reiter
7,775,567	B2	8/2010	Ligtenberg et al.	2004/0155748	A1	8/2004	Steingroever
7,796,002	B2	9/2010	Hashimoto et al.	2004/0244636	A1	12/2004	Meadow et al.
7,799,281	B2	9/2010	Cook et al.	2004/0251759	A1	12/2004	Hirzel
7,808,349	B2	10/2010	Fullerton et al.	2005/0102802	A1	5/2005	Sitbon et al.
7,812,697	B2	10/2010	Fullerton et al.	2005/0196484	A1	9/2005	Khoshnevis
7,817,004	B2	10/2010	Fullerton et al.	2005/0231046	A1	10/2005	Aoshima
7,828,556	B2	11/2010	Rodrigues	2005/0240263	A1	10/2005	Fogarty et al.
7,832,897	B2	11/2010	Ku	2005/0263549	A1	12/2005	Scheiner
7,837,032	B2	11/2010	Smeltzer	2006/0066428	A1	3/2006	McCarthy et al.
7,839,246	B2	11/2010	Fullerton et al.	2006/0111191	A1	5/2006	Wise
7,843,297	B2	11/2010	Fullerton et al.	2006/0189259	A1	8/2006	Park et al.
7,868,721	B2	1/2011	Fullerton et al.	2006/0198047	A1	9/2006	Xue et al.
7,871,272	B2	1/2011	Firman, II et al.	2006/0214756	A1	9/2006	Elliott et al.
7,874,856	B1	1/2011	Schrieffer et al.	2006/0290451	A1	12/2006	Prendergast et al.
7,901,216	B2	3/2011	Rohrbach et al.	2006/0293762	A1	12/2006	Schulman et al.
7,903,397	B2	3/2011	McCoy	2007/0072476	A1	3/2007	Milan
7,905,626	B2	3/2011	Shantha et al.	2007/0075594	A1	4/2007	Sadler
7,980,268	B2	7/2011	Rosko et al.	2007/0103266	A1	5/2007	Wang et al.
7,997,906	B2	8/2011	Ligtenberg et al.	2007/0138806	A1	6/2007	Ligtenberg et al.
8,002,585	B2	8/2011	Zhou	2007/0255400	A1	11/2007	Parravicini et al.
8,004,792	B2	8/2011	Biskeborn et al.	2007/0267929	A1	11/2007	Pulnikov et al.
8,009,001	B1	8/2011	Cleveland	2008/0139261	A1	6/2008	Cho et al.
8,050,714	B2	11/2011	Fadell et al.	2008/0181804	A1	7/2008	Tanigawa et al.
8,078,224	B2	12/2011	Fadell et al.	2008/0186683	A1	8/2008	Ligtenberg et al.
8,078,776	B2	12/2011	Novotney et al.	2008/0218299	A1	9/2008	Arnold
8,087,939	B2	1/2012	Rohrbach et al.	2008/0224806	A1	9/2008	Ogden et al.
8,138,868	B2	3/2012	Arnold	2008/0272868	A1	11/2008	Prendergast et al.
8,138,869	B1	3/2012	Lauder et al.	2008/0282517	A1	11/2008	Claro
8,143,982	B1	3/2012	Lauder et al.	2009/0021333	A1	1/2009	Fiedler
8,143,983	B1	3/2012	Lauder et al.	2009/0058201	A1	3/2009	Brennvall
8,165,634	B2	4/2012	Fadell et al.	2009/0091195	A1	4/2009	Hyde et al.
8,177,560	B2	5/2012	Rohrbach et al.	2009/0146508	A1	6/2009	Peng et al.
8,187,006	B2	5/2012	Rudisill et al.	2009/0209173	A1	8/2009	Arledge et al.
8,190,205	B2	5/2012	Fadell et al.	2009/0230786	A1	9/2009	Liu
8,242,868	B2	8/2012	Lauder et al.	2009/0250576	A1	10/2009	Fullerton et al.
8,253,518	B2	8/2012	Lauder et al.	2009/0251256	A1	10/2009	Fullerton et al.
8,264,310	B2	9/2012	Lauder et al.	2009/0254196	A1	10/2009	Cox et al.
8,264,314	B2	9/2012	Sankar	2009/0278642	A1	11/2009	Fullerton et al.
8,271,038	B2	9/2012	Fadell et al.	2009/0289090	A1	11/2009	Fullerton et al.
8,271,705	B2	9/2012	Novotney et al.	2009/0289749	A1	11/2009	Fullerton et al.
8,297,367	B2	10/2012	Chen et al.	2009/0292371	A1	11/2009	Fullerton et al.
8,344,836	B2	1/2013	Lauder et al.	2010/0033280	A1	2/2010	Bird et al.
8,348,678	B2	1/2013	Hardisty et al.	2010/0084928	A1	4/2010	Yoshida et al.
8,354,767	B2	1/2013	Pennander et al.	2010/0126857	A1	5/2010	Polwart et al.
8,390,411	B2	3/2013	Lauder et al.	2010/0167576	A1	7/2010	Zhou
8,390,412	B2	3/2013	Lauder et al.	2011/0026203	A1	2/2011	Ligtenberg et al.
8,390,413	B2	3/2013	Lauder et al.	2011/0210636	A1	9/2011	Kuhlmann-Wilsdorf
8,395,465	B2	3/2013	Lauder et al.	2011/0234344	A1	9/2011	Fullerton et al.
8,398,409	B2	3/2013	Schmidt	2011/0248806	A1	10/2011	Michael
8,435,042	B2	5/2013	Rohrbach et al.	2011/0279206	A1	11/2011	Fullerton et al.
8,454,372	B2	6/2013	Lee et al.	2012/0007704	A1	1/2012	Nerl
8,467,829	B2	6/2013	Fadell et al.	2012/0085753	A1	4/2012	Fitch et al.
8,497,753	B2	7/2013	DiFonzo et al.	2012/0235519	A1	9/2012	Dyer et al.
8,514,042	B2	8/2013	Lauder et al.	2012/0262261	A1	10/2012	Sarai
8,535,088	B2	9/2013	Gao et al.	2013/0001745	A1	1/2013	Iwaki
8,576,031	B2	11/2013	Lauder et al.	2013/0186209	A1	7/2013	Herbst
				2013/0186473	A1	7/2013	Mankame et al.
				2013/0186807	A1	7/2013	Browne et al.
				2013/0187538	A1	7/2013	Herbst
				2013/0192860	A1	8/2013	Puzio et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2013/0207758	A1	8/2013	Browne et al.
2013/0252375	A1	9/2013	Yi et al.
2013/0256274	A1	10/2013	Faulkner
2013/0270056	A1	10/2013	Mankame et al.
2013/0305705	A1	11/2013	AC et al.
2013/0341137	A1	12/2013	Mandame et al.
2014/0044972	A1	2/2014	Menassa et al.
2014/0072261	A1	3/2014	Isenhour et al.
2014/0152252	A1	6/2014	Wood et al.
2014/0205235	A1	7/2014	Benjamin et al.
2014/0221741	A1	8/2014	Wang et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	0 345 554	A1	12/1989
EP	0 545 737	A1	6/1993
FR	823395		1/1938
GB	1 495 677	A	12/1977
JP	60-091011	U	5/1985
WO	WO-02/31945	A2	4/2002
WO	WO-2007/081830	A2	7/2007
WO	WO-2009/124030	A1	10/2009
WO	WO-2010/141324	A1	12/2010

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

United States Office Action issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/430,219 dated Aug. 13, 2013.

Atallah, K., Calverley, S.D., D. Howe, 2004, "Design, analysis and realisation of a high-performance magnetic gear", IEE Proc.-Electr. Power Appl., vol. 151, No. 2, Mar. 2004.

Atallah, K., Howe, D. 2001, "A Novel High-Performance Magnetic Gear", IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, vol. 37, No. 4, Jul. 2001, p. 2844-46.

Bassani, R., 2007, "Dynamic Stability of Passive Magnetic Bearings", Nonlinear Dynamics, V. 50, p. 161-8.

Boston Gear 221S-4, One-stage Helical Gearbox, http://www.bostongear.com/pdf/product_sections/200_series_helical.pdf, referenced Jun. 2010.

Charpentier et al., 2001, "Mechanical Behavior of Axially Magnetized Permanent-Magnet Gears", IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, vol. 37, No. 3, May 2001, p. 1110-17.

Chau et al., 2008, "Transient Analysis of Coaxial Magnetic Gears Using Finite Element Comodeling", Journal of Applied Physics, vol. 103.

Choi et al., 2010, "Optimization of Magnetization Directions in a 3-D Magnetic Structure", IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, vol. 46, No. 6, Jun. 2010, p. 1603-06.

Correlated Magnetics Research, 2009, Online Video, "Innovative Magnetics Research in Huntsville", <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=m4m81JjZCJo>.

Correlated Magnetics Research, 2009, Online Video, "Non-Contact Attachment Utilizing Permanent Magnets", <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3xUm25CNNgQ>.

Correlated Magnetics Research, 2010, Company Website, <http://www.correlatedmagnetics.com>.

Furlani 1996, "Analysis and optimization of synchronous magnetic couplings", J. Appl. Phys., vol. 79, No. 8, p. 4692.

Furlani 2001, "Permanent Magnet and Electromechanical Devices", Academic Press, San Diego.

Furlani, E.P., 2000, "Analytical analysis of magnetically coupled multipole cylinders", J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys., vol. 33, No. 1, p. 28-33.

General Electric DP 2.7 Wind Turbine Gearbox, <http://www.gedrivetrain.com/insideDP27.cfm>, referenced Jun. 2010.

Ha et al., 2002, "Design and Characteristic Analysis of Non-Contact Magnet Gear for Conveyor by Using Permanent Magnet", Conf. Record of the 2002 IEEE Industry Applications Conference, p. 1922-17.

Huang et al., 2008, "Development of a Magnetic Planetary Gearbox", IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, vol. 44, No. 3, p. 403-12.

International Search Report and Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority issued in Application No. PCT/US12/61938 dated Feb. 26, 2013.

International Search Report and Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority issued in Application No. PCT/US2013/028095 dated May 13, 2013.

Jian et al., "Comparison of Coaxial Magnetic Gears With Different Topologies", IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, vol. 45, No. 10, Oct. 2009, p. 4526-29.

Jian, L., Chau, K.T., 2010, "A Coaxial Magnetic Gear With Halbach Permanent-Magnet Arrays", IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion, vol. 25, No. 2, Jun. 2010, p. 319-28.

Jørgensen et al., "The Cycloid Permanent Magnetic Gear", IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, vol. 44, No. 6, Nov./Dec. 2008, p. 1659-65.

Jørgensen et al., 2005, "Two dimensional model of a permanent magnet spur gear", Conf. Record of the 2005 IEEE Industry Applications Conference, p. 261-65.

Krasil'nikov et al., 2008, "Calculation of the Shear Force of Highly Coercive Permanent Magnets in Magnetic Systems With Consideration of Affiliation to a Certain Group Based on Residual Induction", Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, vol. 44, Nos. 7-8, p. 362-65.

Krasil'nikov et al., 2009, "Torque Determination for a Cylindrical Magnetic Clutch", Russian Engineering Research, vol. 29, No. 6, pp. 544-47.

Liu et al., 2009, "Design and Analysis of Interior-magnet Outer-rotor Concentric Magnetic Gears", Journal of Applied Physics, vol. 105.

Lorimer, W., Hartman, A., 1997, "Magnetization Pattern for Increased Coupling in Magnetic Clutches", IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, vol. 33, No. 5, Sep. 1997.

Mezani, S., Atallah, K., Howe, D., 2006, "A high-performance axial-field magnetic gear", Journal of Applied Physics vol. 99.

MI, "Magnetreater/Charger Model 580" Magnetic Instruments Inc. Product specification, May 4, 2009, http://web.archive.org/web/20090504064511/http://www.maginst.com/specifications/580_magnetreater.htm, 2 pages.

Neugart PLE-160, One-Stage Planetary Gearbox, http://www.neugartusa.com/ple_160_gb.pdf, referenced Jun. 2010.

Notice of Allowance issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/471,189 dated Apr. 3, 2013.

Tsurumoto 1992, "Basic Analysis on Transmitted Force of Magnetic Gear Using Permanent Magnet", IEEE Translation Journal on Magnetics in Japan, Vo 7, No. 6, Jun. 1992, p. 447-52.

United States Office Action issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/104,393 dated Apr. 4, 2013.

United States Office Action issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/236,413 dated Jun. 6, 2013.

United States Office Action issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/374,074 dated Feb. 21, 2013.

United States Office Action issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/470,994 dated Jan. 7, 2013.

United States Office Action issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/529,520 dated Sep. 28, 2012.

United States Office Action issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/530,893 dated Mar. 22, 2013.

United States Office Action issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/855,519 dated Jul. 17, 2013.

Series BNS, Compatible Series AES Safety Controllers, http://www.schmersalusa.com/safety_controllers/drawings/aes.pdf, pp. 159-175, date unknown.

BNS 33 Range, Magnetic safety sensors, Rectangular design, <http://www.farnell.com/datasheets/36449.pdf>, 3 pages, date unknown.

Series BNS-B20, Coded-Magnet Sensor Safety Door Handle, http://www.schmersalusa.com/catalog_pdfs/BNS_B20.pdf, 2 pages, date unknown.

Series BNS333, Coded-Magnet Sensors with Integral Safety Control Module, http://www.schmersalusa.com/machine_guarding/coded_magnet/drawings/bns333.pdf, 2 pages, date unknown.

Wikipedia, "Barker Code", Web article, last modified Aug. 2, 2008, 2 pages.

Wikipedia, "Kasami Code", Web article, last modified Jun. 11, 2008, 1 page.





(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Wikipedia, "Linear feedback shift register", Web article, last modified Nov. 11, 2008, 6 pages.
 Wikipedia, "Golomb Ruler", Web article, last modified Nov. 4, 2008, 3 pages.
 Wikipedia, "Costas Array", Web article, last modified Oct. 7, 2008, 4 pages.
 Wikipedia, "Walsh Code", Web article, last modified Sep. 17, 2008, 2 pages.
 Wikipedia, "Gold Code", Web article, last modified Jul. 27, 2008, 1 page.
 Wikipedia, "Bitter Electromagnet", Web article, last modified Aug. 2011, 1 page.
 Pill-soo Kim, "A future cost trends of magnetizer systems in Korea", Industrial Electronics, Control, and Instrumentation, 1996, vol. 2, Aug. 5, 1996, pp. 991-996.
 United States Office Action, dated Aug. 26, 2011, issued in counterpart U.S. Appl. No. 12/206,270.
 United States Office Action, dated Mar. 12, 2012, issued in counterpart U.S. Appl. No. 12/206,270.
 United States Office Action, dated Feb. 22, 2011, issued in counterpart U.S. Appl. No. 12/476,952.
 United States Office Action, dated Oct. 12, 2011, issued in counterpart U.S. Appl. No. 12/476,952.

United States Office Action, dated Mar. 9, 2012, issued in counterpart U.S. Appl. No. 13/371,280.
 International Search Report and Written Opinion, dated May 14, 2009, issued in related International Application No. PCT/US2009/038925.
 International Search Report and Written Opinion, dated Jul. 13, 2010, issued in related International Application No. PCT/US2010/021612.
 International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Jun. 1, 2009, issued in related International Application No. PCT/US2009/002027.
 International Search Report and Written Opinion, dated Aug. 18, 2010, issued in related International Application No. PCT/US2010/036443.
 International Search Report and Written Opinion, dated Apr. 8, 2011 issued in related International Application No. PCT/US2010/049410.
 C. Pompermaier, L. Sjoberg, and G. Nord, Design and Optimization of a Permanent Magnet Transverse Flux Machine, XXth International Conference on Electrical Machines, Sep. 2012, p. 606, IEEE Catalog No. CFP1290B-PRT, ISBN: 978-1-4673-0143-5.
 V. Rudnev, An Objective Assessment of Magnetic Flux Concentrators, Heat Treating Progress, Nov./Dec. 2004, p. 19-23.
 Kim, Pill Soo, Kim, Yong, Field and Thermal Modeling of Magnetizing Fixture by Impulse, Power Electronics and Drive Systems, 2003. The fifth conference on, Dec. 2003, 1301-1306.






FIG. 3B





FIG. 4A





FIG. 5




FIG. 6





FIG. 7






FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13A




FIG. 13B




FIG. 13C




FIG. 14A




FIG. 14B




FIG. 15A




FIG. 15B




FIG. 15C




FIG. 15D




FIG. 16




FIG. 17A




FIG. 17B




FIG. 17C




FIG. 18A




FIG. 18B




FIG. 18C




FIG. 18D




FIG. 18E




FIG. 18F




FIG. 19A




FIG. 19B






FIG. 19C








FIG. 21A




FIG. 21B




FIG. 21C




FIG. 21D




FIG. 21E










FIG. 21F








FIG. 24





FIG. 25






FIG. 27

















FIG. 28












FIG. 31E




FIG. 31F




FIG. 31G




FIG. 31H




FIG. 31I




FIG. 31J




FIG. 31K





FIG. 32

FIG. 33







FIG. 34




FIG. 35




FIG. 36A




FIG. 36B




FIG. 36C

FIG. 36D





FIG. 37A










FIG. 37C








FIG. 40B




FIG. 40C




FIG. 41A




FIG. 41B








FIG. 41C

4130

FIG. 41D







MULTILEVEL CORRELATED MAGNETIC SYSTEM**CLAIMING BENEFIT OF PRIOR FILED U.S. APPLICATIONS**

This patent application is a continuation application of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/061,956, filed Oct. 24, 2013, now pending, which is a continuation application of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/892,246, filed May 11, 2013, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,570,130, which is a continuation application of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/465,001, filed May 6, 2012, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,471,658, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/179,759, filed Jul. 11, 2011, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,174,347, which claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application Ser. No. 61/399,448 (filed Jul. 12, 2010) and is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Nonprovisional patent application Ser. No. 12/885,450 (filed Sep. 18, 2010), now U.S. Pat. No. 7,982,568. The contents of these documents are hereby incorporated by reference herein.

TECHNICAL FIELD

The present invention relates generally to a multilevel correlated magnetic system and method for using the multilevel correlated magnetic system. A wide-range of devices including a retractable magnet assembly, a disengagement/engagement tool, and a click on-click off device are described herein that may incorporate or interact with one or more of the multilevel correlated magnetic systems.

SUMMARY

In one aspect, the present invention provides a multilevel correlated magnetic system, comprising: (a) a first correlated magnetic structure including a first portion which has a plurality of coded magnetic sources and a second portion which has one or more magnetic sources; (b) a second correlated magnetic structure including a first portion which has a plurality of complementary coded magnetic sources and a second portion which has one or more magnetic sources; (c) the first correlated magnetic structure is aligned with the second correlated magnetic structure such that the first portions and the second portions are respectively located across from one another; and (d) a tool that applies a bias magnet field to cause a transition of the first and second magnetic structures from a closed state in which the first and second magnetic structures are attached to an open state in which the first and second magnetic structures are separated.

In another aspect, the present invention provides a magnet assembly comprising: (a) a containment vessel; and (b) a first magnet located within the containment vessel, wherein the first magnet moves from a retracted position to an engagement position and vice versa, and wherein when the first magnet is in the retracted position there is a limited magnetic field present at a measurement location located at an opposite end of the containment vessel.

In yet another aspect, the present invention provides a stacked multi-level structure configured to produce a click on-click off behavior. The stacked multi-level structure comprising: (a) a first repel-snap multilevel structure comprising: (i) a first correlated magnetic structure including a first portion which has a plurality of coded magnetic sources and a second portion which has one or more magnetic sources; (ii) a second correlated magnetic structure including a first portion which has a plurality of complementary coded magnetic sources and a second portion which has one or more magnetic

sources; and (iii) the first correlated magnetic structure is aligned with the second correlated magnetic structure such that the first portions and the second portions are respectively located across from one another; (b) a second repel-snap multilevel structure comprising: (i) the second correlated magnetic structure; and (ii) a third correlated magnetic structure including a first portion which has a plurality of complementary coded magnetic sources and a second portion which has one or more magnetic sources; (iii) the second correlated magnetic structure is aligned with the third correlated magnetic structure such that the first portions and the second portions are respectively located across from one another.

In still yet another aspect, the present invention provides a stacked multi-level structure configured to produce a click on-click off behavior. The stacked multi-level structure comprising: (a) a first repel-snap multilevel structure comprising: (i) a first correlated magnetic structure including a first portion which has a plurality of coded magnetic sources and a second portion which has one or more magnetic sources; (ii) a second correlated magnetic structure including a first portion which has a plurality of complementary coded magnetic sources and a second portion which has one or more magnetic sources; and (iii) the first correlated magnetic structure is aligned with the second correlated magnetic structure such that the first portions and the second portions are respectively located across from one another; (b) a second repel-snap multilevel structure comprising: (i) a third correlated magnetic structure including a first portion which has a plurality of coded magnetic sources and a second portion which has one or more magnetic sources; (ii) a fourth correlated magnetic structure including a first portion which has a plurality of complementary coded magnetic sources and a second portion which has one or more magnetic sources; and (iii) the third correlated magnetic structure is aligned with the fourth correlated magnetic structure such that the first portions and the second portions are respectively located across from one another; and (c) an intermediate layer located between the second correlated magnetic structure and the third correlated magnetic structure.

Additional aspects of the invention will be set forth, in part, in the detailed description, figures and any claims which follow, and in part will be derived from the detailed description, or can be learned by practice of the invention. It is to be understood that both the foregoing general description and the following detailed description are exemplary and explanatory only and are not restrictive of the invention as disclosed.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

A more complete understanding of the present invention may be obtained by reference to the following detailed description when taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings wherein:

FIGS. 1-9 are various diagrams used to help explain different concepts about correlated magnetic technology which can be utilized in different embodiments of the present invention;

FIG. 10 depicts a multilevel correlated magnetic system in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 11 depicts a multilevel transition distance determination plot;

FIG. 12 depicts a multilevel correlated magnetic system in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 13A depicts a multilevel correlated magnetic system in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIGS. 13B and 13C depict alternative correlated magnetic structures in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIGS. 14A and 14B depict use of multiple multilevel structures to achieve contactless attachment of two objects in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 15A depicts a momentary snap switch in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 15B depicts the transition distance determination plot for the snap switch of FIG. 15A;

FIG. 15C depicts the force law curve of the snap switch of FIG. 15A;

FIG. 15D depicts the hysteresis of the magnetic forces of the momentary snap switch of FIG. 15A in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 16 is a diagram that depicts the force vs. position relationship between the spring and the two magnets making up the snap correlated magnetic structure of the momentary snap switch of FIG. 15A;

FIG. 17A depicts the external force position versus the magnet position of the snap switch as an external force is applied to the snap switch of FIG. 15A and then released;

FIG. 17B depicts the magnet force as an external force is applied to the snap switch of FIG. 15A and then released;

FIG. 17C depicts the magnet position versus external force position as an external force is applied to the snap switch of FIG. 15A and then released;

FIGS. 18A-18F depict alternative arrangements for multi-level systems in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 19A depicts an alternative momentary switch where the spring of FIG. 15A is replaced by a magnet configured to produce a repel force in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 19B depict an alternative momentary switch where the spring of FIG. 15A is replaced by a magnet configured to be half of a contactless attachment multi-level system in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 19C depicts two magnets and an optional spacer that could be used in place of the middle magnet shown in FIGS. 19A and 19B in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 20A depicts the force vs. position relationship between the outer magnet and the two magnets of the snap multi-level system in the momentary snap switch of FIG. 19A;

FIG. 20B depicts the force vs. position relationship between the outer magnet and the two magnets of the snap multi-level system in the momentary snap switch of FIG. 19B;

FIG. 21A depicts a push button and a first magnet of an exemplary momentary switch in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 21B depicts a second magnet having an associated electrical contact of an exemplary momentary switch in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 21C depicts a third magnet and a base of an exemplary momentary switch in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 21D depicts an exemplary cylinder having an upper lip, a top hole, and a bottom hole configured to receive the push button and first magnet of FIG. 12A, the second magnet and contact of FIG. 21B, and the third magnet and base of FIG. 21C in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 21E depicts an assembled exemplary momentary switch in its normal open state with a spacer and contact

positioned in the slot and on top of the third magnet in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 21F depicts the assembled exemplary momentary switch of FIG. 21E in its closed state in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 22A depicts the female component of a first exemplary magnetic cushioning device in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 22B depicts the male component of the first exemplary magnetic cushioning device in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 22C depicts the assembled first exemplary magnetic cushioning device in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 23A depicts the female component of a second exemplary magnetic cushioning device in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 23B depicts the male component of the second exemplary magnetic cushioning device in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 23C depicts the assembled second exemplary magnetic cushioning device in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 24 depicts a first exemplary array of a plurality of the first exemplary magnetic cushioning devices in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 25 depicts a second exemplary array of a plurality of the first exemplary magnetic cushioning devices in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 26 depicts an exemplary cushion employing another exemplary array of the first exemplary magnetic cushioning devices in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 27 depicts a shock absorber that produces electricity while absorbing shock using multi-level magnetism in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 28 depicts multiple levels of multi-level magnetic mechanisms in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIGS. 29A-29D depict two magnetic structures that are coded to produce three levels of magnetism in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 29E depicts an exemplary force curve for the two magnetic structures of FIGS. 29A-29D;

FIGS. 30A-30C depict a laptop using two magnetic structures like those described in relation to FIGS. 29A-29D in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 30D depicts an exemplary mechanism used to turn one magnet to cause it to decorrelate from a second magnet in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIGS. 31A-31K depict a child-proof/animal-proof device in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 32 depicts a force curve of two conventional magnets in a repel orientation;

FIG. 33 depicts force curves for five different code densities in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 34 depicts a force curve corresponding to multi-level repel and snap behavior in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 35 depicts a comparison of a conventional repel behavior versus two different multi-level repel and snap force curves in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIGS. 36A-36D depict demonstration devices and their associated force curves in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIGS. 37A-37C depict use of multi-level contactless attachment devices to produce cabinets that close but do not touch in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIGS. 38A-38B depicts a device that can be used to produce exploding toys and the like and to store energy in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 39 depicts a complex machine employing a magnetic force component in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIGS. 40A-40B depict a retractable magnet assembly intended to limit magnetic field effects at an engagement location when a magnet is in its retracted state;

FIG. 40C depicts an exemplary method for designing the retractable magnet assembly of FIGS. 40A-40B;

FIG. 41A depicts magnets having multi-level repel-snap or hover-snap behavior being used to attach two objects;

FIG. 41B depicts an exemplary disengagement/engagement tool;

FIG. 41C depicts an exemplary electromagnet located in proximity to an attachment apparatus such as depicted in FIG. 41A, where the electromagnet can be used to change the state of a repel-snap magnet pair or a hover-snap magnet pair;

FIG. 41D depicts an exemplary enclosure whereby a given magnet of a repel-snap magnet pair or a hover-snap magnet pair can move from one side of the enclosure when 'snapped' to the other magnet and can move to the other side of the enclosure when 'repelled' away from the other magnet;

FIGS. 42A-42B depict alternative stacked multi-level structures intended to produce a click on-click off behavior; and

FIG. 42C depicts the click on-click off behavior of the stacked multi-level structure of FIG. 42A.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The present invention includes a multilevel correlated magnetic system and method for using the multilevel correlated magnetic system. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of the present invention is made possible, in part, by the use of an emerging, revolutionary technology that is called correlated magnetics. This revolutionary technology referred to herein as correlated magnetics was first fully described and enabled in the co-assigned U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/123,718 filed on May 20, 2008 and entitled "A Field Emission System and Method". The contents of this document are hereby incorporated herein by reference. A second generation of a correlated magnetic technology is described and enabled in the co-assigned U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/358,423 filed on Jan. 23, 2009 and entitled "A Field Emission System and Method". The contents of this document are hereby incorporated herein by reference. A third generation of a correlated magnetic technology is described and enabled in the co-assigned U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/476,952 filed on Jun. 2, 2009 and entitled "A Field Emission System and Method". The contents of this document are hereby incorporated herein by reference. Another technology known as correlated inductance, which is related to correlated magnetics, has been described and enabled in the co-assigned U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/322,561 filed on Feb. 4, 2009 and entitled "A System and Method for Producing an Electric Pulse". The contents of this document are hereby incorporated by reference. A brief discussion about correlated magnetics is provided first before a detailed discussion is provided about the multilevel correlated magnetic system and method of the present invention.

Correlated Magnetism Technology

This section is provided to introduce the reader to basic magnets and the new and revolutionary correlated magnetic technology. This section includes subsections relating to basic magnets, correlated magnets, and correlated electromagnetics. It should be understood that this section is provided to assist the reader with understanding the present invention, and should not be used to limit the scope of the present invention.

A. Magnets

A magnet is a material or object that produces a magnetic field which is a vector field that has a direction and a magnitude (also called strength). Referring to FIG. 1, there is illustrated an exemplary magnet **100** which has a South pole **102** and a North pole **104** and magnetic field vectors **106** that represent the direction and magnitude of the magnet's moment. The magnet's moment is a vector that characterizes the overall magnetic properties of the magnet **100**. For a bar magnet, the direction of the magnetic moment points from the South pole **102** to the North pole **104**. The North and South poles **104** and **102** are also referred to herein as positive (+) and negative (-) poles, respectively.

Referring to FIG. 2A, there is a diagram that depicts two magnets **100a** and **100b** aligned such that their polarities are opposite in direction resulting in a repelling spatial force **200** which causes the two magnets **100a** and **100b** to repel each other. In contrast, FIG. 2B is a diagram that depicts two magnets **100a** and **100b** aligned such that their polarities are in the same direction resulting in an attracting spatial force **202** which causes the two magnets **100a** and **100b** to attract each other. In FIG. 2B, the magnets **100a** and **100b** are shown as being aligned with one another but they can also be partially aligned with one another where they could still "stick" to each other and maintain their positions relative to each other. FIG. 2C is a diagram that illustrates how magnets **100a**, **100b** and **100c** will naturally stack on one another such that their poles alternate.

B. Correlated Magnets

Correlated magnets can be created in a wide variety of ways depending on the particular application as described in the aforementioned U.S. patent application Ser. Nos. 12/123,718, 12/358,432, and 12/476,952 by using a unique combination of magnet arrays (referred to herein as magnetic field emission sources), correlation theory (commonly associated with probability theory and statistics) and coding theory (commonly associated with communication systems and radar systems). A brief discussion is provided next to explain how these widely diverse technologies are used in a unique and novel way to create correlated magnets.

Basically, correlated magnets are made from a combination of magnetic (or electric) field emission sources which have been configured in accordance with a pre-selected code having desirable correlation properties. Thus, when a magnetic field emission structure is brought into alignment with a complementary, or mirror image, magnetic field emission structure the various magnetic field emission sources will all align causing a peak spatial attraction force to be produced, while the misalignment of the magnetic field emission structures cause the various magnetic field emission sources to substantially cancel each other out in a manner that is a function of the particular code used to design the two magnetic field emission structures. In contrast, when a magnetic field emission structure is brought into alignment with a duplicate magnetic field emission structure then the various magnetic field emission sources all align causing a peak spatial repelling force to be produced, while the misalignment of the magnetic field emission structures causes the various

magnetic field emission sources to substantially cancel each other out in a manner that is a function of the particular code used to design the two magnetic field emission structures.

The aforementioned spatial forces (attraction, repelling) have a magnitude that is a function of the relative alignment of two magnetic field emission structures and their corresponding spatial force (or correlation) function, the spacing (or distance) between the two magnetic field emission structures, and the magnetic field strengths and polarities of the various sources making up the two magnetic field emission structures. The spatial force functions can be used to achieve precision alignment and precision positioning not possible with basic magnets. Moreover, the spatial force functions can enable the precise control of magnetic fields and associated spatial forces thereby enabling new forms of attachment devices for attaching objects with precise alignment and new systems and methods for controlling precision movement of objects. An additional unique characteristic associated with correlated magnets relates to the situation where the various magnetic field sources making-up two magnetic field emission structures can effectively cancel out each other when they are brought out of alignment which is described herein as a release force. This release force is a direct result of the particular correlation coding used to configure the magnetic field emission structures.

A person skilled in the art of coding theory will recognize that there are many different types of codes that have different correlation properties which have been used in communications for channelization purposes, energy spreading, modulation, and other purposes. Many of the basic characteristics of such codes make them applicable for use in producing the magnetic field emission structures described herein. For example, Barker codes are known for their autocorrelation properties and can be used to help configure correlated magnets. Although, a Barker code is used in an example below with respect to FIGS. 3A-3B, other forms of codes which may or may not be well known in the art are also applicable to correlated magnets because of their autocorrelation, cross-correlation, or other properties including, for example, Gold codes, Kasami sequences, hyperbolic congruential codes, quadratic congruential codes, linear congruential codes, Welch-Costas array codes, Golomb-Costas array codes, pseudorandom codes, chaotic codes, Optimal Golomb Ruler codes, deterministic codes, designed codes, one dimensional codes, two dimensional codes, three dimensional codes, or four dimensional codes, combinations thereof, and so forth.

Referring to FIG. 3A, there are diagrams used to explain how a Barker length 7 code 300 can be used to determine polarities and positions of magnets 302a, 302b . . . 302g making up a first magnetic field emission structure 304. Each magnet 302a, 302b . . . 302g has the same or substantially the same magnetic field strength (or amplitude), which for the sake of this example is provided as a unit of 1 (where A=Attract, R=Repel, A=-R, A=1, R=-1). A second magnetic field emission structure 306 (including magnets 308a, 308b . . . 308g) that is identical to the first magnetic field emission structure 304 is shown in 13 different alignments 310-1 through 310-13 relative to the first magnetic field emission structure 304. For each relative alignment, the number of magnets that repel plus the number of magnets that attract is calculated, where each alignment has a spatial force in accordance with a spatial force function based upon the correlation function and magnetic field strengths of the magnets 302a, 302b . . . 302g and 308a, 308b . . . 308g. With the specific Barker code used, the spatial force varies from -1 to 7, where the peak occurs when the two magnetic field emission structures 304 and 306 are aligned which occurs when their respec-

tive codes are aligned. The off peak spatial force, referred to as a side lobe force, varies from 0 to -1. As such, the spatial force function causes the magnetic field emission structures 304 and 306 to generally repel each other unless they are aligned such that each of their magnets are correlated with a complementary magnet (i.e., a magnet's South pole aligns with another magnet's North pole, or vice versa). In other words, the two magnetic field emission structures 304 and 306 substantially correlate with one another when they are aligned to substantially mirror each other.

In FIG. 3B, there is a plot that depicts the spatial force function of the two magnetic field emission structures 304 and 306 which results from the binary autocorrelation function of the Barker length 7 code 300, where the values at each alignment position 1 through 13 correspond to the spatial force values that were calculated for the thirteen alignment positions 310-1 through 310-13 between the two magnetic field emission structures 304 and 306 depicted in FIG. 3A. As the true autocorrelation function for correlated magnet field structures is repulsive, and most of the uses envisioned will have attractive correlation peaks, the usage of the term 'auto-correlation' herein will refer to complementary correlation unless otherwise stated. That is, the interacting faces of two such correlated magnetic field emission structures 304 and 306 will be complementary to (i.e., mirror images of) each other. This complementary autocorrelation relationship can be seen in FIG. 3A where the bottom face of the first magnetic field emission structure 304 having the pattern 'S S S N N S N' is shown interacting with the top face of the second magnetic field emission structure 306 having the pattern 'N N N S S N S', which is the mirror image (pattern) of the bottom face of the first magnetic field emission structure 304.

Referring to FIG. 4A, there is a diagram of an array of 19 magnets 400 positioned in accordance with an exemplary code to produce an exemplary magnetic field emission structure 402 and another array of 19 magnets 404 which is used to produce a mirror image magnetic field emission structure 406. In this example, the exemplary code was intended to produce the first magnetic field emission structure 402 to have a first stronger lock when aligned with its mirror image magnetic field emission structure 406 and a second weaker lock when it is rotated 90° relative to its mirror image magnetic field emission structure 406. FIG. 4B depicts a spatial force function 408 of the magnetic field emission structure 402 interacting with its mirror image magnetic field emission structure 406 to produce the first stronger lock. As can be seen, the spatial force function 408 has a peak which occurs when the two magnetic field emission structures 402 and 406 are substantially aligned. FIG. 4C depicts a spatial force function 410 of the magnetic field emission structure 402 interacting with its mirror magnetic field emission structure 406 after being rotated 90°. As can be seen, the spatial force function 410 has a smaller peak which occurs when the two magnetic field emission structures 402 and 406 are substantially aligned but one structure is rotated 90°. If the two magnetic field emission structures 402 and 406 are in other positions then they could be easily separated.

Referring to FIG. 5, there is a diagram depicting a correlating magnet surface 502 being wrapped back on itself on a cylinder 504 (or disc 504, wheel 504) and a conveyor belt/tracked structure 506 having located thereon a mirror image correlating magnet surface 508. In this case, the cylinder 504 can be turned clockwise or counter-clockwise by some force so as to roll along the conveyor belt/tracked structure 506. The fixed magnetic field emission structures 502 and 508 provide a fraction and gripping (i.e., holding) force as the cylinder 504 is turned by some other mechanism (e.g., a motor). The grip-

ping force would remain substantially constant as the cylinder **504** moved down the conveyor belt/tracked structure **506** independent of friction or gravity and could therefore be used to move an object about a track that moved up a wall, across a ceiling, or in any other desired direction within the limits of the gravitational force (as a function of the weight of the object) overcoming the spatial force of the aligning magnetic field emission structures **502** and **508**. If desired, this cylinder **504** (or other rotary devices) can also be operated against other rotary correlating surfaces to provide a gear-like operation. Since the hold-down force equals the traction force, these gears can be loosely connected and still give positive, non-slipping rotational accuracy. Plus, the magnetic field emission structures **502** and **508** can have surfaces which are perfectly smooth and still provide positive, non-slip traction. In contrast to legacy friction-based wheels, the traction force provided by the magnetic field emission structures **502** and **508** is largely independent of the friction forces between the traction wheel and the traction surface and can be employed with low friction surfaces. Devices moving about based on magnetic traction can be operated independently of gravity for example in weightless conditions including space, underwater, vertical surfaces and even upside down.

Referring to FIG. 6, there is a diagram depicting an exemplary cylinder **602** having wrapped thereon a first magnetic field emission structure **604** with a code pattern **606** that is repeated six times around the outside of the cylinder **602**. Beneath the cylinder **602** is an object **608** having a curved surface with a slightly larger curvature than the cylinder **602** and having a second magnetic field emission structure **610** that is also coded using the code pattern **606**. Assume, the cylinder **602** is turned at a rotational rate of 1 rotation per second by shaft **612**. Thus, as the cylinder **602** turns, six times a second the first magnetic field emission structure **604** on the cylinder **602** aligns with the second magnetic field emission structure **610** on the object **608** causing the object **608** to be repelled (i.e., moved downward) by the peak spatial force function of the two magnetic field emission structures **604** and **610**. Similarly, had the second magnetic field emission structure **610** been coded using a code pattern that mirrored code pattern **606**, then 6 times a second the first magnetic field emission structure **604** of the cylinder **602** would align with the second magnetic field emission structure **610** of the object **608** causing the object **608** to be attracted (i.e., moved upward) by the peak spatial force function of the two magnetic field emission structures **604** and **610**. Thus, the movement of the cylinder **602** and the corresponding first magnetic field emission structure **604** can be used to control the movement of the object **608** having its corresponding second magnetic field emission structure **610**. One skilled in the art will recognize that the cylinder **602** may be connected to a shaft **612** which may be turned as a result of wind turning a windmill, a water wheel or turbine, ocean wave movement, and other methods whereby movement of the object **608** can result from some source of energy scavenging. As such, correlated magnets enables the spatial forces between objects to be precisely controlled in accordance with their movement and also enables the movement of objects to be precisely controlled in accordance with such spatial forces.

In the above examples, the correlated magnets **304**, **306**, **402**, **406**, **502**, **508**, **604** and **610** overcome the normal 'magnet orientation' behavior with the aid of a holding mechanism such as an adhesive, a screw, a bolt & nut, etc. . . . In other cases, magnets of the same magnetic field emission structure could be sparsely separated from other magnets (e.g., in a sparse array) such that the magnetic forces of the individual magnets do not substantially interact, in which case the polar-

ity of individual magnets can be varied in accordance with a code without requiring a holding mechanism to prevent magnetic forces from 'flipping' a magnet. However, magnets are typically close enough to one another such that their magnetic forces would substantially interact to cause at least one of them to 'flip' so that their moment vectors align but these magnets can be made to remain in a desired orientation by use of a holding mechanism such as an adhesive, a screw, a bolt & nut, etc. . . . As such, correlated magnets often utilize some sort of holding mechanism to form different magnetic field emission structures which can be used in a wide-variety of applications like, for example, a drill head assembly, a hole cutting tool assembly, a machine press tool, a gripping apparatus, a slip ring mechanism, and a structural assembly. Moreover, magnetic field emission structures may include a turning mechanism, a tool insertion slot, alignment marks, a latch mechanism, a pivot mechanism, a swivel mechanism, or a lever.

C. Correlated Electromagnetics

Correlated magnets can entail the use of electromagnets which is a type of magnet in which the magnetic field is produced by the flow of an electric current. The polarity of the magnetic field is determined by the direction of the electric current and the magnetic field disappears when the current ceases. Following are a couple of examples in which arrays of electromagnets are used to produce a first magnetic field emission structure that is moved over time relative to a second magnetic field emission structure which is associated with an object thereby causing the object to move.

Referring to FIG. 7, there are several diagrams used to explain a 2-D correlated electromagnetics example in which there is a table **700** having a two-dimensional electromagnetic array **702** (first magnetic field emission structure **702**) beneath its surface and a movement platform **704** having at least one table contact member **706**. In this example, the movement platform **704** is shown having four table contact members **706** each having a magnetic field emission structure **708** (second magnetic field emission structures **708**) that would be attracted by the electromagnetic array **702**. Computerized control of the states of individual electromagnets of the electromagnetic array **702** determines whether they are on or off and determines their polarity. A first example **710** depicts states of the electromagnetic array **702** configured to cause one of the table contact members **706** to attract to a subset **712a** of the electromagnets within the magnetic field emission structure **702**. A second example **712** depicts different states of the electromagnetic array **702** configured to cause the one table contact member **706** to be attracted (i.e., move) to a different subset **712b** of the electromagnets within the field emission structure **702**. Per the two examples, one skilled in the art can recognize that the table contact member(s) **706** can be moved about table **700** by varying the states of the electromagnets of the electromagnetic array **702**.

Referring to FIG. 8, there are several diagrams used to explain a 3-D correlated electromagnetics example where there is a first cylinder **802** which is slightly larger than a second cylinder **804** that is contained inside the first cylinder **802**. A magnetic field emission structure **806** is placed around the first cylinder **802** (or optionally around the second cylinder **804**). An array of electromagnets (not shown) is associated with the second cylinder **804** (or optionally the first cylinder **802**) and their states are controlled to create a moving mirror image magnetic field emission structure to which the magnetic field emission structure **806** is attracted so as to cause the first cylinder **802** (or optionally the second cylinder **804**) to rotate relative to the second cylinder **804** (or optionally the first cylinder **802**). The magnetic field emission struc-

11

tures **808**, **810**, and **812** produced by the electromagnetic array on the second cylinder **804** at time $t=n$, $t=n+1$, and $t=n+2$, show a pattern mirroring that of the magnetic field emission structure **806** around the first cylinder **802**. The pattern is shown moving downward in time so as to cause the first cylinder **802** to rotate counterclockwise. As such, the speed and direction of movement of the first cylinder **802** (or the second cylinder **804**) can be controlled via state changes of the electromagnets making up the electromagnetic array. Also depicted in FIG. **8** there is an electromagnetic array **814** that corresponds to a track that can be placed on a surface such that a moving mirror image magnetic field emission structure can be used to move the first cylinder **802** backward or forward on the track using the same code shift approach shown with magnetic field emission structures **808**, **810**, and **812** (compare to FIG. **5**).

Referring to FIG. **9**, there is illustrated an exemplary valve mechanism **900** based upon a sphere **902** (having a magnetic field emission structure **904** wrapped thereon) which is located in a cylinder **906** (having an electromagnetic field emission structure **908** located thereon). In this example, the electromagnetic field emission structure **908** can be varied to move the sphere **902** upward or downward in the cylinder **906** which has a first opening **910** with a circumference less than or equal to that of the sphere **902** and a second opening **912** having a circumference greater than the sphere **902**. This configuration is desirable since one can control the movement of the sphere **902** within the cylinder **906** to control the flow rate of a gas or liquid through the valve mechanism **900**. Similarly, the valve mechanism **900** can be used as a pressure control valve. Furthermore, the ability to move an object within another object having a decreasing size enables various types of sealing mechanisms that can be used for the sealing of windows, refrigerators, freezers, food storage containers, boat hatches, submarine hatches, etc., where the amount of sealing force can be precisely controlled. One skilled in the art will recognize that many different types of seal mechanisms that include gaskets, o-rings, and the like can be employed with the use of the correlated magnets. Plus, one skilled in the art will recognize that the magnetic field emission structures can have an array of sources including, for example, a permanent magnet, an electromagnet, an electret, a magnetized ferromagnetic material, a portion of a magnetized ferromagnetic material, a soft magnetic material, or a superconductive magnetic material, some combination thereof, and so forth.

Multilevel Correlated Magnetic System

The present invention provides a multilevel correlated magnetic system and method for using the multilevel correlated magnetic system. It involves multilevel magnetic techniques related to those described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/476,952, filed Jun. 2, 2009, and U.S. Provisional Patent Application 61/277,214, titled "A System and Method for Contactless Attachment of Two Objects", filed Sep. 22, 2009, and U.S. Provisional Patent Application 61/278,900, titled "A System and Method for Contactless Attachment of Two Objects", filed Sep. 30, 2009, and U.S. Provisional Patent Application 61/278,767 titled "A System and Method for Contactless Attachment of Two Objects", filed Oct. 9, 2009, U.S. Provisional Patent Application 61/280,094, titled "A System and Method for Producing Multi-level Magnetic Fields", filed Oct. 16, 2009, U.S. Provisional Patent Application 61/281,160, titled "A System and Method for Producing Multi-level Magnetic Fields", filed Nov. 13, 2009, U.S. Provisional Patent Application 61/283,780, titled "A System and Method for Producing Multi-level Magnetic Fields", filed Dec. 9, 2009, U.S. Provisional Patent Application 61/284,

12

385, titled "A System and Method for Producing Multi-level Magnetic Fields", filed Dec. 17, 2009, and U.S. Provisional Patent Application 61/342,988, titled "A System and Method for Producing Multi-level Magnetic Fields", filed Apr. 22, 2010, which are all incorporated herein by reference in their entirety. Such systems and methods described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/322,561, filed Feb. 4, 2009, U.S. patent application Ser. Nos. 12/479,074, 12/478,889, 12/478,939, 12/478,911, 12/478,950, 12/478,969, 12/479,013, 12/479,073, 12/479,106, filed Jun. 5, 2009, U.S. patent application Ser. Nos. 12/479,818, 12/479,820, 12/479,832, and 12/479,832, filed Jun. 7, 2009, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/494,064, filed Jun. 29, 2009, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/495,462, filed Jun. 30, 2009, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/496,463, filed Jul. 1, 2009, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/499,039, filed Jul. 7, 2009, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/501,425, filed Jul. 11, 2009, and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/507,015, filed Jul. 21, 2009 are all incorporated by reference herein in their entirety.

In accordance with one embodiment of the present invention, the multilevel correlated magnetic system includes a first correlated magnetic structure and a second correlated magnetic structure each having a first portion comprising a plurality of complementary coded magnetic sources and each having a second portion comprising one or more magnetic sources intended to only repel or to only attract. The magnetic sources employed in the invention may be permanent magnetic sources, electromagnets, electro-permanent magnets, or combinations thereof. In accordance with another embodiment of the present invention, both portions of the two correlated magnetic structures may comprise a plurality of complementary coded magnetic sources. For both embodiments, when the first correlated magnetic structure is a certain separation distance apart from the second correlated magnetic structure (i.e., at a transition distance), the multilevel correlated magnetic system transitions from either a repel mode to an attract mode or from an attract mode to a repel mode. Thus, the multilevel correlated magnetic system has a repel level and an attract level.

The first portion of each of the two correlated magnetic structures, which has a plurality of coded magnetic sources, can be described as being a short range portion, and the second portion of each of the two correlated magnetic structures can be described as being a long range portion, where the short range portion and the long range portion produce opposing forces that effectively work against each other. The short range portion produces a magnetic field having a higher near field density and a lesser far field density than the magnetic field produced by the long range portion. Because of these near field and far field density differences, the short range portion produces a higher peak force than the long range portion yet has a faster field extinction rate such that the short range portion is stronger than the long range portion at separation distances less than the transition distance and weaker than the long range portion at separation distance greater than the transition distance, where the forces produced by two portions cancel each other when the two correlated magnetic structures are separated by a distance equal to the transition distance. Thus, the first and second portions of the two correlated magnetic structures produce two opposite polarity force curves corresponding to the attractive force versus the separation distance between the two correlated magnetic structures and the repulsive force versus the separation distance between the two correlated magnetic structures.

In accordance with another embodiment of the present invention, the first (short range) portions of the two correlated

13

magnetic structures produce an attractive force and the second (long range) portions of the two correlated magnetic structures produce a repulsive force. With this arrangement, as the two complementary structures are brought near each other they initially repel each other until they are at a transition distance, where they neither attract nor repel, and then when they are brought together closer than the transition distance they begin to attract strongly, behaving as a “snap.” With this embodiment, the attraction curve is shorter range but its peak force is stronger than the longer range repulsive force curve.

In accordance with still another embodiment of the present invention, the polarities of the force curves are reversed with the shorter range, but stronger peak force curve being repulsive and the longer range but weaker peak force curve being attractive. With this arrangement, the two structures attract each other beyond the transition distance and repel each other when within the transition distance, which results in the two correlated magnetic structures achieving a contactless attachment where they are locked in relative position and in relative alignment yet they are separated by the transition distance.

In one embodiment of the present invention, the short range portion and the long range portion of the multi-level correlated magnetic system could both produce attractive forces to produce correlated magnetic structures having both a strong near field attractive force and a strong far field attractive force, where the transition point corresponds to a point at which the two attractive force curves cross. Similarly, the short range portion and the long range portion could both produce repulsive forces to produce correlated magnetic structures having both a strong near field repulsive force and a strong far field repulsive force, where the transition point corresponds to a point at which the two repulsive force curves cross.

In accordance with a further embodiment of the present invention, the two correlated magnetic field structures are attached to one or more movement constraining structures. A movement constraining structure may only allow motion of the two correlated magnetic structures to or away from each other where the two correlated magnetic structures are always parallel to each other. The movement constraining structure may not allow twisting (or rotation) of either correlated magnetic field structure. Similarly, the movement constraining structure may not allow sideways motion. Alternatively, one or more such movement constraining structures may have variable states whereby movement of the two correlated magnetic structures is constrained in some manner while in a first state but not constrained or constrained differently during another state. For example, the movement constraining structure may not allow rotation of either correlated magnetic structure while in a first state but allow rotation of one or both of the correlated magnetic structures while in another state.

One embodiment of the invention comprises a circular correlated magnetic structure having an annular ring of single polarity that surrounds a circular area within which reside an ensemble of coded magnetic sources. Under one arrangement corresponding to the snap behavior, the ensemble of coded magnetic sources would generate the shorter range, more powerful peak attractive force curve and the annular ring would generate the longer range, weaker peak repulsive force curve. Under a second arrangement corresponding to the contactless attachment behavior, these roles would be reversed.

In another embodiment of the present invention, the configuration of the circular correlated magnetic structure would be reversed, with the coded ensemble of coded magnetic sources occupying the outer annular ring and the inner circle being of a single polarity. Under one arrangement corre-

14

sponding to the snap behavior, the ensemble of coded magnetic sources present in the outer annular ring would generate the shorter range, more powerful peak attractive force curve and the inner circle would generate the longer range, weaker peak repulsive force curve. Under a second arrangement corresponding to the contactless attachment behavior, these roles would be reversed.

In a further embodiment of the present invention, an additional modulating element that produces an additional magnetic field can be used to increase or decrease the transition distance of a multilevel magnetic field system 1000.

If one or more of the first portion and the second portion is implemented with electromagnets or electro-permanent magnets then a control system could be used to vary either the short range force curve or the long range force curve.

The spatial force functions used in accordance with the present invention can be designed to allow movement (e.g., rotation) of at least one of the correlated magnetic structures of the multilevel correlated magnetic system to vary either the short range force curve or the long range force curve.

Referring to FIG. 10, there is shown an exemplary multilevel correlated magnetic system 1000 that comprises a first correlated magnetic structure 1002a and a second magnetic structure 1002b. The first correlated magnetic structure 1002a is divided into an outer portion 1004a and an inner portion 1006a. Similarly, the second correlated magnetic structure 1002b is divided into an outer portion 1004b and an inner portion 1006b. The outer portion 1004a of the first correlated magnetic structure 1002a and the outer portion 1004b of the second correlated magnetic structure 1002b each have one or more magnetic sources having positions and polarities that are coded in accordance with a first code corresponding to a first spatial force function. The inner portion 1006a of the first correlated magnetic structure 1002a and the inner portion 1006b of the second correlated magnetic structure 1002b each have one or more magnetic sources having positions and polarities that are coded in accordance with a second code corresponding to a second spatial force function.

Under one arrangement, the outer portions 1004a, 1004b each comprise a plurality of magnetic sources that are complementary coded so that they will produce an attractive force when their complementary (i.e., opposite polarity) source pairs are substantially aligned and which have a sharp attractive force versus separation distance (or throw) curve, and the inner portions 1006a, 1006b also comprise a plurality of magnetic sources that are anti-complementary coded such that they produce a repulsive force when their anti-complementary (i.e., same polarity) source pairs are substantially aligned but have a broader, less sharp, repulsive force versus separation distance (or throw) curve. As such, when brought into proximity with each other and substantially aligned the first and second correlated magnetic field structures 1002a, 1002b will have a snap behavior whereby their spatial forces transition from a repulsive force to an attractive force. Alternatively, the inner portions 1006a, 1006b could each comprise multiple magnetic sources having the same polarity orientation or could each be implemented using just one magnetic source in which case a similar snap behavior would be produced.

Under another arrangement, the outer portions 1004a, 1004b each comprise a plurality of magnetic sources that are anti-complementary coded so that they will produce a repulsive force when their anti-complementary (i.e., same polarity) source pairs are substantially aligned and which have a sharp repulsive force versus separation distance (or throw) curve, and the inner portions 1006a, 1006b also comprise a plurality of magnetic sources that are complementary coded

15

such that they produce an attractive force when their complementary (i.e., opposite polarity) source pairs are substantially aligned but have a broader, less sharp, attractive force versus separation distance (or throw) curve. As such, when brought into proximity with each other and substantially aligned the first and second correlated magnetic field structures **1002a**, **1002b** will have a contactless attachment behavior where they achieve equilibrium at a transition distance where their spatial forces transition from an attractive force to a repulsive force. Alternatively, the outer portions **1004a**, **1004b** could each comprise multiple magnetic sources having the same polarity orientation or could each be implemented using just one magnetic source in which case a similar contactless attachment behavior would be produced.

For arrangements where both the outer portions **1004a**, **1004b** and the inner portions **1006a**, **1006b** comprise a plurality of coded magnetic sources, there can be greater control over their response to movement due to the additional correlation. For example, when twisting one correlated magnetic structure relative to the other, the long range portion can be made to de-correlate at the same or similar rate as the short range portion thereby maintaining a higher accuracy on the lock position. Alternatively, the multilevel correlated magnetic system **1000** may use a special configuration of non-coded magnetic sources as discussed in detail below with respect to FIGS. **18A-18F**.

FIG. **11** depicts a multilevel transition distance determination plot **1100**, which plots the absolute value of a first force versus separation distance curve **1102** corresponding to the short range portions of the two correlated magnetic structures **1002a**, **1002b** making up the multilevel magnetic field structure **1000**, and the absolute value of a second force versus separation distance curve **1104** corresponding to the long range portions of the two correlated magnetic structures **1002a**, **1002b**. The two curves cross at a transition point **1106**, which while the two correlated magnetic structures **1002a**, **1002b** approach each other corresponds to a transition distance **1108** at which the two correlated magnetic structures **1002a**, **1002b** will transition from a repel mode to an attract mode or from an attract mode to a repel mode depending on whether the short range portions are configured to attract and the long range portions are configured to repel or vice versa.

FIG. **12** depicts an exemplary embodiment of a multilevel magnetic field structure **1000** having first and second correlated magnetic structures **1002a**, **1002b** that each have outer portions **1004a**, **1004b** having magnetic sources in an alternating positive-negative pattern and each have inner portions **1006a**, **1006b** having one positive magnetic source. As such, the first and second magnetic field structures **1002a**, **1002b** are substantially identical. Alternatively, the coding of the two correlated magnetic structures **1002a**, **1002b** could be complementary yet not in an alternating positive-negative pattern in which case the two structures **1002a**, **1002b** would not be identical.

FIG. **13A** depicts yet another embodiment of a multilevel magnetic field structure **1000** having first and second correlated magnetic structures **1002a**, **1002b** that each have inner portions **1006a**, **1006b** having magnetic sources in an alternating positive-negative pattern and each have outer portions **1004a**, **1004b** having one negative magnetic source. As such, the first and second magnetic field structures **1002a**, **1002b** are identical but can be combined to produce a short range attractive force and a long range repulsive force.

FIG. **13B** depicts an alternative to the correlated magnetic structure **1002b** of FIG. **13A** which is almost the same except the outer portion **1004b** has a positive polarity. The two cor-

16

related magnetic structures **1002a**, **1002b** can be combined to produce a short range repulsive force and a long range attractive force.

FIG. **13C** depicts yet another alternative to the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** of FIG. **13A**, where the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** is circular and the coding of the inner portion **1006a** does not correspond to an alternating positive-negative pattern. To complete the multilevel magnetic field system **1000**, a second circular correlated magnetic structure **1002b** would be used which has an inner portion **1006b** having complementary coding and which has an outer portion **1006b** having the same polarity as the outer portion **1006a** of the first circular correlated magnetic field structure **1002a**.

FIGS. **14A** and **14B** provide different views of a first object **1400** and a second object **1402** being attached without contact due to the contactless attachment achieved by three different multilevel devices **1000** each comprising first and second correlated magnetic structures **1002a**, **1002b**. One skilled in the art will recognize that one multilevel structure **100** or two or more multilevel structures **100** can be employed to provide contactless attachment between two objects **1400**, **1402**. In fact, one aspect of the present invention is that it can be used to control position of an object **1400** relative to another object **1402** without contact between the two objects **1400**, **1402**.

As discussed above, multiple multi-level correlated magnetic systems **1000** can be used together to provide contactless attachment of two objects **1400**, **1402**. For example, three or more such structures can be employed to act like magnetic “invisible legs” to hold an object in place above a surface. Similarly, two or more “snap” implementations can be used to hold an object to another object. For example, four snap multi-level structures placed in four corners of a tarp might be used to cover a square opening. Generally, different combinations of contactless attachment structures and snap structures can be combined. For example, a snap structure might secure an object to the end of a rotating shaft and contactless attachment structures could be used to maintain separation between an object being rotated over another surface. Specifically, a first circular band-like multi-level correlated magnetic structure on a bottom surface or a top surface could interact with another circular band-like multi-level correlated magnetic structure on the opposing surface or even a smaller arch (i.e., subset of one of the bands) could be used on one of the surfaces.

Under another arrangement, the “contactless” multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** can be used as a magnetic spring or shock absorber. Such magnetic springs could be used in countless applications where they would absorb vibrations, prevent damage, etc. In particular the dissipative element of a shock absorber may be created by positioning a conductor in the magnetic field and allowing the creation of shorted eddy currents due to its motion to damp the oscillation.

Under yet another arrangement, the “contactless” multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** can be used to make doors and drawers that are quiet since they can be designed such that doors, cabinet doors, and drawers will close and magnetically attach yet not make contact.

Under another arrangement, the “contactless” multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** can be used for child safety and animal proof devices which require a child or animal to overcome, for example by pushing or pulling an object, a repel force before something engages. If desired, the new devices can have forms of electrical switches, mechanical latches, and the like where the repel force can be prescribed such that a child or animal would find it difficult to overcome

17

the force while an adult would not. Such devices might optionally employ a spacer to control the amount of attractive force (if any) that the devices could achieve.

Generally, correlated magnetic structures can be useful for assisting blind people by enabling them to attach objects in known locations and orientations making them easier to locate and manipulate. Unique coding could also provide unique magnetic identifications of objects such that placing an object in the wrong location would be rejected (or disallowed).

Generator devices can be designed to incorporate the “contactless” multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** and work with slow moving objects, for example, a wind mill, without requiring the gears currently being used to achieve adequate power generation.

One application that can incorporate the “contactless” multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** is an anti-kick blade release mechanism for a saw whereby when a blade bites into an object, e.g., wood, such that it would become locked and would otherwise kick the blade up and/or the object out, the blade would disengage. The saw would automatically turn off upon this occurrence.

Another application of the “contactless” multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** is with flying model aircraft which would allow portions such as wings to be easily attached to enable flying but easily detached for storage and transport.

Below are some additional ideas for devices incorporating the “contactless” multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** technology:

Patient levitation beds based on magnetic repulsion to reduce and/or eliminate bedsores during hospital stays. Magnets would be built into a patient carrier which would then be supported and held in place by corresponding magnets on the bed.

Patient gurney which uses correlated magnets to lock it into place inside the ambulance. Replaces conventional locks which are subject to spring wear, dirt, corrosion, etc.

Patient restraining device using correlated magnets. Could use keyed magnets on patient clothing and corresponding magnets on a chair, etc.

Engine or motor mounts which use multi-level contactless attachment devices to reduce or eliminate vibration.

Easily removable seat pads.

Boot/shoe fasteners to eliminate strings or Velcro.

Self-aligning hitch for trailers.

Elevator door lock to replace conventional mechanical locks.

Keyed magnet spare tire mount.

Interchangeable shoe soles (sports shoes, personal wear, etc.)

Light bulb bases to replace screw mounts.

Oven rotisserie using slow-motor technology.

Kitchen microwave rotating platform using slow-motor technology.

No-contact clutch plate, eliminating wearable, friction plates.

Longer-lasting exercise bike using variable opposing magnets (eliminating friction-based components).

Purse clasp.

Keyed gate latch.

Using linear magnets to stop runaway elevators or other mechanical devices.

Referring to FIGS. **15A-15B**, there is illustrated yet another arrangement where the “snap” multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** can be used to produce a momentary

18

snap switch **1500** in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. As depicted in FIG. **15A**, the exemplary momentary snap switch **1500** comprises a spring **1502**, two contacts **1504a** and **1504b**, a spacer **1506** and a snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000**. The purpose of the spacer **1506** is to prevent the components **1002a** and **1002b** of the snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** from contacting, thereby keeping the net force repulsive. FIGS. **15B** and **15C** illustrate the purpose of the spacer **1506**, where FIG. **15B** depicts the absolute value of the attractive and repulsive force curves of the snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** with respect to the separation of the correlated magnetic structures **1002a**, **1002b**, and FIG. **15C** depicts the sum of the attractive and repulsive force curves of the snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** plotted as the input external force on the X axis vs. the snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** response force on the Y axis. Referring to FIG. **15B**, the spacer **1506** keeps the two correlated magnetic structures **1002a**, **1002b** from contacting and prevents the snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** from transitioning into the attractive regime, which prevents the correlated magnetic structures **1002a**, **1002b** from sticking when the external force is removed. Referring to FIG. **15C**, the spacer contact distance is some location between the peak repel force and the transition point which is between the attractive and repulsive regimes. One skilled in the art will recognize that multiple configurations and various approaches are possible for preventing the snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** from transitioning into the attractive regime.

The hysteresis of the momentary snap switch **1500** can be described relative to FIG. **15D**. As the spring **1502** is compressed by an external force **1508** it brings the correlated magnetic structures **1002a**, **1002b** closer together. This is illustrated by travelling up the 45 degree line in FIG. **15D**. The external force **1508** needed to compress the snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** increases until at a certain distance the force begins to decrease with further compression. This creates an instability that causes the snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** to accelerate closure until the contacts **1504a**, **1504b** are closed. At that point, the snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** requires only a small holding force to keep the contacts **1504a**, **1504b** closed and the compressed spring **1502** easily supplies that force. When the external force **1508** on the spring **1502** is relaxed the contacts **1504a**, **1504b** remain closed until another critical point at which the spring **1502** force is equal to the snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** repel force. At that point, the snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** begins to accelerate open until they reach the maximum force point and then begins to decrease, compressing the spring **1502** against the external force **1508**. The contacts **1504a**, **1504b** then are apart by an amount that causes the repel force and the external force (spring force) to be equal. The cycle can be repeated by then re-compressing the spring **1502**. This latter transient behavior is shown in FIG. **15D** by the top two arrows as they approach the stable position on the 45 degree line.

FIG. **16** is a diagram that depicts the force vs. position relationship between the spring **1502** and the two magnets **1002a**, **1002b** making up the snap correlated magnetic structure **1000** of the momentary snap switch **1500** of FIG. **15A**.

FIG. **17A** depicts the position of the external force **1508** versus the position of the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** of the momentary snap switch **1500** as the external force **1508** is applied over a period of time to the momentary snap switch **1500** of FIG. **15A** and then released. Referring to FIG. **17A**,

19

the position of an external force **1508** (e.g., a finger) applied to the momentary snap switch **1500** is shown by a first curve **1702**, where the external force **1508** moves from a first position corresponding to when the momentary snap switch **1500** is in the open position to a second position corresponding to when the momentary snap switch **1500** is in a closed position and then returns to the first position as the external force **1508** is removed from the momentary snap switch **1500**. One skilled in the art will recognize that the external force **1508** could be applied by any object, for example, a piece of automated equipment. The position of the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** shown with a second curve **1704** can be described in relation to the first curve **1702**. Referring to the two curves **1702**, **1704**, the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** begins at its open position and moves closer to the second correlated magnetic structure **1002b** as the external force **1508** depresses the spring **1502** and presses down on the momentary snap switch **1500**. Initially, the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** moves linearly relative to the movement of the external force **1508** since the spring **1502** and correlated magnetic structure **1002a** are essentially pushing against each other because the snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** is in a repel state (or mode). When approaching a transition distance the snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** begins to transition from a repel state to an attractive state. As its force law goes from a peak repulsive force and begins to go towards a zero force the external force **1508** applied to the spring **1502** is encountering less and less repulsive force causing the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** to move rapidly downward until the spacer **1506** stops the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** from moving closer to the other correlated magnetic structure **1002b**. Its position remains the same until the external force **1508** position has moved sufficiently away from the switch's closed position and towards the switch's open position such that the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** is repelled away from the spacer **1506**, which corresponds to the abrupt rise in the second curve **1704**. The correlated magnetic structure **1002a** then moves linearly as the external force **1508** is removed from the momentary snap switch **1500** until the snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** is again at its open position.

FIG. 17B depicts the magnet force as the external force **1508** is applied to the momentary snap switch **1500** of FIG. **15A** and then released. Referring to FIG. 17B, the magnet force as shown by a curve **1706** that begins at a minimum repulsive force that occurs when the snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** is in its open position. As the external force **1508** is applied the magnet force increases until the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** begins to approach the transition distance when it begins to transition from a repel state to an attractive state. As its force law goes from a peak repulsive force and begins to go towards a zero force, the external force **1508** applied to the spring **1502** is encountering less and less repulsive force causing the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** to move rapidly downward until the spacer **1506** stops it from moving closer to the other correlated magnetic structure **1002b**. The magnet force is maintained until the position of the external force **1508** has moved sufficiently away from the switch's closed position and towards the switch's open position such that the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** is repelled away from the spacer **1506**, which corresponds to the abrupt rise in the second curve **1706**. The correlated magnetic structure **1002a** repels and the force increases until it is pushed downward by the spring **1502** and thereafter they achieve equilibrium. The magnet force then

20

reduces as the external force **1508** is removed until the magnet force is again at the minimum repulsive force corresponding to its open position.

FIG. 17C depicts the position of the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** versus the position of the external force **1508** as the external force **1508** is applied to the momentary snap switch **1500** of FIG. **15A** and then released. Referring to FIG. **17C** and a curve **1708**, the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** and external force **1508** begin at a first position corresponding to the switch's open position, which is in the upper right of the plot. The curve **1708** moves linearly as the external force **1508** is applied since the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** and spring **1502** are in equilibrium (i.e., pushing against each other). As the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** begins to approach the transition distance when it begins to transition from a repel state to an attractive state its force law goes from a peak repulsive force and begins to go towards a zero force. At this time, the external force **1508** applied to the spring **1502** is encountering less and less repulsive force causing the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** to move rapidly downward while the external force **1508** position is at the same location until the spacer **1506** stops the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** from moving closer to the other correlated magnetic structure **1002b**. The correlated magnetic structure **1002a** remains in the same position while the external force **1508** is applied until the snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** reaches its closed position and the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** continues to remain in the same position until the external force **1508** position has moved sufficiently away from the switch's closed position and towards the switch's open position such that the correlated magnetic structure **1002a** is repelled away from the spacer **1506**, which corresponds to the abrupt right turn in the curve **1708**. The correlated magnetic structure **1002a** and the spring **1502** again achieve equilibrium and then move linearly until they have reached the upper right location in the plot that corresponds to the switch's open position.

FIGS. **18A-18F** depict alternative arrangements for snap multi-level correlated magnetic systems **1000** that can be used in accordance with the momentary snap switch **1500** of FIG. **15A**. Very importantly, the relative sizes and the field strengths of the correlated magnetic structures **1002a** and **1002b** of the snap multi-level correlated magnetic systems **1000** of FIGS. **18A-18F** are configured to produce hysteresis properties corresponding to desired operational characteristics of the momentary snap switch **1500** of FIG. **15A**. Additionally, although they are described in relation to the snap-repel magnetic structures used in the momentary snap switch **1500** of FIG. **15A**, one skilled in the art will recognize that, as described above, the multi-level correlated magnetic systems **1000** can be alternatively configured to have contactless attachment behavior.

Referring to FIG. **18A**, the multi-level magnetic systems **1000** includes a first magnetic structure **1002a** and a second magnetic structure **1002b**. The first magnetic structure comprises a first outer portion **1004a** and a first inner portion **1006a** and the second magnetic structure **1002b** comprises a second outer portion **1004b** and a second inner portion **1006b**. The first and second outer portions **1004a** and **1004b** have magnetic sources having the opposite polarity so they will produce an attractive force. The first and second inner portions **1006a** and **1006b** have magnetic sources having the same polarity so they will produce a repulsive force. Under one arrangement, a positive magnetic source is magnetized in the first inner portion **1006a** of the positive side of a conventional magnet **1002a** and a positive magnetic source is mag-

21

netized in the second inner portion **1006b** of a negative side of a conventional magnet **1002b**. Under an alternative arrangement, a negative magnetic source is magnetized in the first inner portion **1006a** of the positive side of a conventional magnet **1002a** and a negative magnetic source is magnetized in the second inner portion **1006b** of a negative side of a conventional magnet **1002b**. Under another arrangement, a positive magnetic source is magnetized in the first inner portion **1006a** and a negative source is magnetized in the first outer portion **1004a** of the first magnetic structure **1002a**, and a positive magnetic source is magnetized in the second inner portion **1006b** and a positive source is magnetized in the second outer portion **1004a** of the second magnetic structure **1002b**. Under yet another arrangement, a negative magnetic source is magnetized in the first inner portion **1006a** and a positive source is magnetized in the first outer portion **1004a** of the first magnetic structure **1002a**, and a negative magnetic source is magnetized in the second inner portion **1006b** and a negative source is magnetized in the second outer portion **1004a** of the second magnetic structure **1002b**.

Referring to FIG. 18B, a multi-level magnetic system **1000** includes a magnetic structure **1002** and a conventional magnet **1800** having a first polarity on one side and a second polarity on its other side that is opposite the first polarity. The magnetic structure **1002** comprises an outer portion **1004** and an inner portion **1006**. Under one arrangement, the first polarity of the conventional magnet **1800** is a positive polarity and the inner portion **1006** of the magnetic structure **1002** is magnetized to have a positive polarity while the outer portion **1004** of the magnetic structure **1002** is magnetized to have a negative polarity. Under another arrangement, the magnetic structure **1002** is initially a second conventional magnet having the opposite polarity as the first conventional magnet **1800** but the inner portion **1006** of the magnetic structure **1002** is then magnetized to have the same polarity as the first conventional magnet **1800**. As such, when the depicted sides of the magnetic structure **1002** and the conventional magnet **1800** are brought together they will produce the multi-level repel and snap behavior.

FIGS. 18C-18F are intended to illustrate that different shapes can be used for the magnetic structures **1002**, **1002a**, **1002b**, **1004a**, **1004b**, **1800** as well as the inner portions **1006**, **1006a**, **1006b** and outer portions **1004**, **1004a**, **1004b** of the magnetic structures **1002**, **1002a**, **1002b**, **1004a**, **1004b**, **1800** that make up a multi-level magnetic system **1000**. In FIG. 18C, the magnetic structures **1002a** **1002b** are rectangular and the inner portions **1006a** **1006b** are circular. In FIG. 18D, the inner portion **1006** of the magnetic structure **1002** is rectangular. In FIGS. 18E and 18F, the inner portions **1006**, **1006a** have a hexagonal shape. Generally, one skilled in the art will recognize that many different variations of first portions and second portions of two magnetic structures can be employed to include portions that are next to each other and not nested so that there is an inner and outer portion. For example, side-by-side stripes having different strengths could be employed.

FIG. 19A depicts an alternative exemplary momentary snap switch **1900** where the spring **1502** of FIG. 15A is replaced by a magnet **1902** configured to produce a repel force **1904** with the correlated magnetic structure **1002a**. Referring to FIG. 19A, the momentary snap switch **1900** employs two magnets **1002** and **1004** (e.g., correlated magnetic structures **1002a**, **1002b**) configured to function as a snap multi-level system **1000** and an upper magnet **1902** configured to produce a repel force with magnet **1002**. The three magnets **1002**, **1004**, **1902** are constrained within a movement constraint system **1906** that only allows up and

22

down movement of the upper magnet **1902** and the middle magnet **1002**. In addition, the momentary snap switch **1900** employs two contacts **1910a** and **1910b** where contact **1910a** is associated with magnet **1002** and contact **1910b** is associated with magnet **1004**. Furthermore, the momentary snap switch **1900** employs a spacer **1912** attached to magnet **1004** where the purposed of the spacer **1912** is to prevent the components of the snap multi-level magnetic system **1000** from contacting, thereby keeping the net force repulsive. The spacer **1912** could instead be attached to magnet **1002**. Alternatively, a first spacer **1912** could be attached to magnet **1004** and a second spacer **1912** could be attached to magnet **1002**.

In operation, when an external force **1908** is applied to the upper magnet **1902**, the repel force between the upper magnet **1902** and the middle magnet **1002** acts similar to the spring **1502** of FIG. 15A, where because the repel force **1904** is greater than the repel force produced between the magnets **1002**, **1004** means that the snap multi-level system **1000** will produce substantially the same hysteresis behavior as the spring **1502**. However, because only magnetism is employed, the hysteresis behavior should remain unchanged, essentially forever assuming the use of permanent magnets **1002**, **1004**, **1902**. FIG. 19B depicts an alternative momentary switch **1900'** where the spring **1502** of FIG. 15A is replaced by a magnet **1902** configured to be half of a contactless attachment multi-level system **1000** where the other half is magnet **1002**. One skilled in the art will recognize that the momentary switches **1900** and **1900'** in FIGS. 19A and 19B will function the same regardless of the orientation of the device **1900** and **1900'** (e.g., it could be turned upside down). As such, the terminology "upper magnet" and "up and down movement" are not intended to be limiting but merely descriptive given the orientation depicted in FIGS. 19A and 19B. Furthermore, one skilled in the art will recognize that the characteristics of the code(s) used to produce the magnetic structures **1002**, **1004**, **1902** determine the type of translational and rotational constraints required.

FIG. 19C depicts two magnets **1914**, **1916** and an optional spacer **1918** that could be used in place of the middle magnet **1002** shown in FIGS. 19A and 19B.

FIG. 20A depicts the force vs. position relationship between the outer magnet **1902** and the two magnets **1002**, **1004** of the snap multi-level system **1000** in the momentary snap switch **1900** of FIG. 19A.

FIG. 20B depicts the force vs. position relationship between the outer magnet **1902** and the two magnets **1002**, **1004** of the snap multi-level system **1000** in the momentary snap switch **1900'** of FIG. 19B.

FIGS. 21A-21F illustrate an exemplary cylinder **2100** utilizing the momentary snap switch **1900** in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. FIG. 21A depicts a push button **2102** attached to a first magnet **1902** of the exemplary momentary switch **1900**. FIG. 21B depicts a second magnet **1002** having an associated electrical contact **1910a** of the exemplary momentary switch **1900**. FIG. 21C depicts a third magnet **1004** (supported on a base **2104**) of the exemplary momentary switch **1900**. FIG. 21D depicts the exemplary cylinder **2100** having an upper lip **2106**, a slot **2108**, a top hole **2110**, and a bottom hole **2112** configured to receive the push button **2102** and first magnet **1902** of FIG. 21A, the second magnet **1002** and contact **1910a** of FIG. 21B, and the third magnet **1004** and base **2104** of FIG. 21C. FIG. 21E depicts an assembled cylinder **2100** with the exemplary momentary switch **1900** in its normal open state with the spacer **1912** and contact **1910b** positioned in the slot **2108** and on top of the third magnet **1004**. FIG. 21F depicts the

23

assembled cylinder **2100** with the exemplary momentary switch **1900** in its closed state.

One skilled in the art will recognize that many different variations of the exemplary momentary switch **1900** used in the exemplary cylinder **2100** of FIGS. **21A-21F** are possible for producing different momentary switches, other switches, and other types of devices where repeatable hysteresis behavior is desirable. Variations include different shapes of magnets **1002**, **1004**, **1902** and different shapes of movement constraining systems **1906** as well as different methods of constraining the magnets **1002**, **1004**, **1902** included in such devices. For example, ring magnets could be employed that surround a central cylinder as opposed to an outer constraint. Both inner and outer constraint methods could be employed. Any of various types of mechanical devices such as hinges or the like could be used to constrain the magnets. Generally, one skilled in the art could devise numerous configurations to produce such repeatable hysteresis behavior in accordance with the invention.

FIGS. **22A-22C** illustrate an exemplary magnetic cushioning device **2200** in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. FIG. **22A** depicts a female component **2202** of the exemplary magnetic cushioning device **2200**. FIG. **22B** depicts a male component **2204** (e.g., piston **2204**) of the exemplary magnetic cushioning device **2202**. FIG. **22C** depicts the assembled exemplary magnetic cushioning device **2200** wherein the female component **2202** (including magnet **1002** and spacer **1912**) is movably positioned over the male component **2204** (including magnet **1004**). The magnetic cushioning device **2200** is similar to the bottom portion of the exemplary momentary switch **1900** of FIGS. **21A-22F** in that its two magnets **1002** and **1004** and the spacer **1912** produce a multi-level repel snap behavior that has a repeatable hysteresis behavior. However, instead of being a switch, the magnetic cushioning device **2200** of FIGS. **22A-22C** does not require circuitry for a switch and instead acts much like a shock absorber that utilizes magnetism instead of a spring. The magnetic cushioning device **2200** can be used for all sorts of applications that use a spring for cushioning including beds such as home beds or hospital beds; seats or backs of chairs in a home, an airplane, a vehicle, a race car, a bus, a train, etc.; shock absorbers for vehicles; bumpers for vehicles; protective shielding for vehicles; and the like. Unlike a spring, however, where the force of the spring continues to increase as an external force is applied, the magnetic cushioning device **2200** exhibits a peak repel force and then a reduction in the repel force as the magnets **1002** and **1004** move together until held apart by the spacer **1912**. The spacer **1912** can be attached to either one of the magnets **1002** and **1004**.

FIGS. **23A-23C** illustrate another exemplary magnetic cushioning device **2300** in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. FIG. **23A** depicts a female component **2302** of the exemplary magnetic cushioning device **2300**. FIG. **23B** depicts a male component **2304** (e.g., piston **2304**) of the exemplary magnetic cushioning device **2302**. FIG. **23C** depicts the assembled exemplary magnetic cushioning device **2300** wherein the female component **2302** (including magnet **1002** and spacer **1912**) is movably positioned over the male component **2304** (including magnet **1004**). The magnetic cushioning device **2300** is similar to the bottom portion of the exemplary momentary switch **1900** of FIGS. **21A-22F** in that its two magnets **1002** and **1004** and the spacer **1912** produce a multi-level repel snap behavior that has a repeatable hysteresis behavior. However, instead of being a switch, the magnetic cushioning device **2300** of FIGS. **23A-23C** does not require circuitry for a switch and instead acts much like a shock absorber that utilizes magnetism instead of a spring.

24

The magnetic cushioning device **2300** can be used for all sorts of applications that use a spring for cushioning including beds such as home beds or hospital beds; seats or backs of chairs in a home, an airplane, a vehicle, a race car, a bus, a train, etc.; shock absorbers for vehicles; bumpers for vehicles; protective shielding for vehicles; and the like. Unlike a spring, however, where the force of the spring continues to increase as an external force is applied, the magnetic cushioning device **2300** exhibits a peak repel force and then a reduction in the repel force as the magnets **1002** and **1004** move together until held apart by the spacer **1912**. The exemplary magnetic cushioning device **2300** when compared to magnetic cushioning device **2200** is intended to demonstrate that different shapes of magnets **1002** and **1004** and enclosures **2302** and **2304** could be used by one skilled in the art to produce any type desired cushioning device in accordance with the invention.

FIG. **24** depicts a first exemplary array **2400** of a plurality of the exemplary magnetic cushioning devices **2200**. As depicted, each row of cushioning devices **2200** is shifted by approximately half of a width of a circular cushioning device **2200** thereby enabling them to be compacted together with less air gaps between them.

FIG. **25** depicts a second exemplary array **2500** of a plurality of the exemplary magnetic cushioning devices **2200** that are aligned in rows and columns. Generally, one skilled in the art will recognize that depending on the shape of the magnets employed and the enclosures used to produce the cushioning devices **2200**, **2300** and alternatives that various arrangements could be used such that function well together, for example, as part of a seat cushion or bed mattress.

FIG. **26** depicts an exemplary cushion **2600** employing another exemplary array of the exemplary magnetic cushioning devices **2200**. Such a cushion **2600** might be used in a mattress, as a seat, or as otherwise described. One skilled in the art will understand that conventional methods such as use of springs, foam, or other types of materials could be employed in conjunction with the magnetic cushioning devices **2200**. For instance, cushioning devices **2200** and **2300** in accordance with the present invention could be used to produce heels for shoes or boots and can be used for soles or pads that are placed into shoes or boots. Similar cushioning devices **2200** and **2300** could be used for knee pads, elbow pads, or any sort of protective gear used by athletes, workers, military personnel or the like where an impact needs to be absorbed to prevent harm to a person.

FIG. **27** depicts an exemplary shock absorber **2700** that has power generation capabilities in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. The exemplary shock absorber **2700** utilizes a cushioning device **2200** (including two magnets and a spacer) previously described in FIGS. **22A-22C** and one or more other magnets **2702** and corresponding coils **2704** to generate electricity **2706**. FIG. **27** depicts the shock absorber **2700** having one shaft **2708** attached to one end of the cushioning device **2200** and at another end there is attached shaft **2710** which has the magnet **2702** surrounding it and the coil **2704** surrounding the magnet **2702**.

Under yet another arrangement, a device can be produced including multiple layers of multi-level magnetic systems **1000** including those that have repeatable hysteresis behavior. FIG. **28** depicts an exemplary device **2800** that has three multi-level magnetic systems **1000**, **1000'** and **1000''**. The first and second multi-level magnetic systems **1000** and **1000'** are "repel-snap" and the third multi-level magnetic system **1000''** is "contactless attachment". As shown, the exemplary device **2800** includes four magnets including two with spacers used to produce the three multi-level magnetic systems **1000**,

1000' and **1000'** each exhibiting multi-level magnetism behaviors. As depicted, magnets 1 and 2 each have spacers. Magnets 1 and 2 and 2 and 3 produce repel-snap behavior that combine and the magnets 3 and 4 produce contactless attachment. The combined combination of the four magnets 1, 2, 3, and 4 corresponds to programmable repeatable hysteresis. One skilled in the art will recognize that all sorts of behaviors can be producing by combining multiple layers of the aforementioned multi-level magnetic systems **1000**.

Under another arrangement it is possible to design two magnetic structures to produce multiple layers of multi-level magnetism. Using only two magnetic structures, many different combinations of magnetized regions can be produced. FIGS. **29A-29D** depict two magnetic structures **2902** and **2904** that are coded to produce three levels of magnetism. Specifically, as the two magnetic structures **2902** and **2904** are brought towards each other there is an outer attractive layer (or level), a repel layer, and then an attractive layer when they are attached. FIG. **29A** depicts two magnetic structures **2902** and **2904** each made up of three coded regions **2902a**, **2902b**, **2902c**, **2904a**, **2904b**, and **2904c**, where the first and second coded regions **2902a**, **2902b**, **2904a**, and **2904b** are coded to produce a contactless attachment behavior and their third coded regions **2902c** and **2904c** are coded to produce a strong attachment layer having a very short throw that is much less than the equilibrium distance produced by the second and third coded regions **2902b**, **2902c**, **2904b**, and **2904c**. FIG. **29B** depicts the two magnetic structures **2902** and **2904** being separated by a distance greater than the engagement distance of the outer attract layer. FIG. **29C** depicts the two magnetic structures **2902** and **2904** positioned relative to each other such that they are at an equilibrium distance between their outer attractive layer and their repel layer. FIG. **29D** depicts the two magnetic structures **2902** and **2904** in contact where they are in a very thin but strong attractive layer, where the attractive force is greater than the repel force with the thickness of the inner attractive layer. One skilled in the art will recognize that the various regions of the two magnetic structures **2902** and **2904** are not required to be contiguous (i.e., alongside or otherwise in contact). Instead, magnetic structures can be produced where the magnetized regions are on separate pieces of material that are configured apart from each other yet are configured to work together to produce multi-level magnetism. This approach is similar to using discrete (i.e., separate) magnets as magnetic sources versus maxels printed onto a single piece of material. Generally, all sorts of combinations are possible where the two interacting magnetic structures **2902** and **2904** are each either a single piece of material or multiple pieces of material, contiguous pieces of material or non-contiguous pieces of material, discrete magnets, or printed maxels, etc.

FIGS. **29B** through **29D** also depict optional sensors **2906** that could be used as part of a control system (not shown). Generally, one or more sensors **2906** can be used to measure a characteristic of the magnetism between the two magnetic structures **2902** and **2904**, where measurements can correspond to different control states (e.g., non-engaged state, equilibrium state, and closed state).

FIG. **29E** depicts an exemplary force curve **2908** for the two magnetic structures **2902** and **2904** of FIGS. **29A-29D**. As shown, the two magnetic structures **2902** and **2904** have an outer attractive force layer where the force reaches a peak attractive force before transitioning to a repel force layer where a first zero crossing corresponds to an equilibrium position (or separation distance). The two magnetic structures **2902** and **2904** can then be forced through the repel layer thereby overcoming a peak repel force before the force

decays to zero at a second zero crossing and then the two structures will attract and attach within an inner attractive layer. As previously described, a spacer can be used to prevent the two structures **2902** and **2904** from getting any closer than a desired separation distance (e.g., the distance corresponding to the second zero crossing). Similarly, the third coding regions of two magnetic structures **2902** and **2904** could be used in place of a spacer to produce repeatable hysteresis corresponding to a repel snap behavior where there is also an innermost repel layer having the same strength and throw as the attractive forces that would otherwise enable a snap behavior. Thus, the repel force would achieve a peak and then degrade to zero at some separation distance and remain zero within that distance.

It should be noted that multilevel structures **2902** and **2904** do not have to be symmetrical and do not need to be circular (e.g., involving concentric circular regions). Multi-level magnetism can be achieved using coding that resembles stripes, coding corresponding to irregular patterns, coding correspond to stripes within circles, and using countless other coding arrangements.

FIGS. **30A-30D** depict an exemplary laptop computer **3002** having ergonomics that control its state based on the position of its top portion **3004** (i.e., the portion having the display screen) relative to a bottom portion **3006** (i.e., the portion having the keyboard). As depicted in FIG. **30A** sensor data indicates that two magnetic structures **2902** and **2904** embedded in the laptop portions **3004** and **3006** are separated at a distance greater than their engagement distance, which corresponds to an "ON" state. In FIG. **30B**, a user of the laptop **3002** has pushed the top portion **3004** down until it became attracted by the attractive portion of the contactless attachment multi-level coded regions of the two magnetic structures **2902** and **2904**. The top portion **3004** will reach the equilibrium (or hover) distance and remain at that distance, which the sensor data indicates causing the laptop **3002** to enter a "SLEEP" state. The user can then either open the laptop **3002** up again or can push through the repel force to cause the laptop portions **3004** and **3006** to attach as seen in FIG. **30C**, whereby the sensor data would indicate that the two portions **3004** and **3006** are attached and cause the laptop **3002** to go to its "OFF" state. One skilled in the art will also recognize that use of a sensor and a control system is not a requirement for achieving the ergonomic aspects corresponding to the three state positions ("ON", "SLEEP", and "OFF"). As shown in FIG. **30D**, the laptop **3002** may also include a device **3008** (sliding mechanism **3008**) used to turn one of the magnetic structures **2902** or **2904** to decorrelate them then in which case the magnetic structures **2902** and **2904** may be much stronger when in an attached state.

Generally, a laptop **3002** configured in accordance with the multi-level aspects of the present invention could have the following:

At least three states: not engaged, hover and fully engaged (closed).

Hall sensor near at least one of the magnetic structures **2902** and **2904** to read out the state by the level of magnetism measured at that point.

The detected value is translated into the discrete states which is interfaced to a computer/processor in digital format.

The operating system or an application running will interpret these states and respond appropriately, e.g., open->run normally, hover->screen saver or stand-by, fully shut->hibernate or stand-by.

Any or all of the computer responses may be delayed from the detection according to desired ergonomics.

The magnetic fields may be created by either single magnetic substrates that contain the fields necessary to produce the behavior, or by individual magnets that give the combined field needed to produce the behavior.

Either or both the hover and attachment magnets may be located at different radii from the lid's axis of rotation to provide mechanical advantage and modify the range of field, strength of field, etc as needed to create the desired behavior.

Laptops, phones, personal digital assistants (PDAs) and other similar devices could also employ the aforementioned correlated magnetics technology in other ways including:

Shock/water proof enclosure with correlated magnetic seal for phones, media players, etc. . . .

Power cord with 360 degree consistent removal force.

Correlated magnets inside the products to reduce excess magnetic fields.

Rubber mat with correlated magnets to hold laptop down. Docking station.

Wireless charging with concentrated flux at interface.

Precision alignment.

Notion of using correlated magnets throughout lifecycle from manufacturing to in-store demo to end use.

Manufacturing processes.

Security cord attachment—removal of correlated magnet coded cord sounds alarm.

Correlated magnetic-based switches including integrated feedback loop.

In accordance with another embodiment of the present invention, the repel-snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** (for example) can be used to produce child safety and animal proof devices that require a child or animal to be able to overcome the repel force in order to engage or disengage a locking mechanism, or other such mechanism. The force may be applied via pulling or pushing or in some other manner. Such a device could make it difficult for a child or an animal to turn on a device, for example, a garbage disposal.

FIGS. 31A-31K depicts various views of an exemplary child proof device **3100** that might be used as an electrical switch or a mechanical latch or for some other purpose. Generally, the device **3100** is designed to exhibit multi-level repel snap behavior when two magnetic structures **1002a** and **1002b** are in a certain alignment(s) and to exhibit repel only behavior when the structures **1002a** and **1002b** are in an alignment other than the certain alignment(s). As such, a child or animal would have to overcome a repel force to cause the device **3100** to engage the switch or latch or otherwise perform a function upon the contact (or near contact) of the two magnetic structures **1002a** and **1002b**. Once the two magnetic structures **1002a** and **1002b** are brought into contact they would snap together and remain together until one of the magnetic structures **1002a** and **1002b** was turned by a knob **3102** so as to cause them to de-correlate thereby causing the attractive forces of the attractive layer to be overcome by the repel forces present in the device **3100**. As shown, the device **3100** is configured such that the knob **3102** will turn within a guide **3104** (e.g., guide rod **3104**) to cause it to achieve its normal aligned position. The device **3100** can transition from repel snap to repel-only depending on whether the complementary codes are aligned or not aligned. As shown in FIG. 31K, the device **3100** if desired can incorporate a spacer **3106** which is attached to one of the magnetic structures **1002a** (for example). Thus, when the other magnetic structure **1002b** encounters the spacer **3106** it can close for instance an electrical connection (e.g., activate a doorbell) and/or affect a

mechanical latch or other device. This requires the force to be maintained to enable operation of a device (e.g., garbage disposal).

As can be appreciated, the repel-snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** (for example) can be used in many different child safety and animal proof devices. By requiring a child or animal to overcome, for example by pushing or pulling an object, a repel force before something engages, for example electrically or mechanically, new forms of electrical switches, latches, and the like can be employed where the repel force can be prescribed such that a child or animal would find it difficult to overcome the force while an adult would not. Such devices might optionally employ a spacer to control the amount of attractive force (if any) that the devices could achieve thereby enabling them to be removed with a force (e.g., pull force) opposite the force used to achieve contact (e.g., push force). If desired, the repel-snap multi-level correlated magnetic system **1000** (for example) may be coded whereby they do not de-correlate when one of the corresponding magnetic structures **1002a** and **1002b** is rotated relative to the other or it may be coded where de-correlation will occur when alignment is changed due to rotation (and/or translational movement). Thus, the force between two multi-level magnetic structures **1002a** and **1002b** can vary as a function of separation distance and also relative alignment of the two structures **1002a** and **1002b**.

The following discussion is intended to compare the limitations of conventional magnet force curves to those of coded magnetic structures. Conventional magnet pairs will either attract each other or repel each other depending on the spatial orientation of their dipoles. Conventional magnets can have strong magnetic fields that can adversely affect credit cards, cell phones, pacemakers, etc. because of the linear reach of the magnetic fields. For the same reason, these magnets can also be very dangerous to handle. Moreover, magnet designs have been limited by the assumption of an indirect relationship, which describes the force as inversely proportional to the linear distance between the magnets. Because of this limitation, design engineers have long relied on materials science and advanced manufacturing techniques to produce magnets with appropriate attract and/or repel force performance characteristics required for particular applications.

The force curve shown in FIG. 32 describes the repel force profile for two standard neodymium iron boron (NdFeB) N42-grade disk magnets 1½" diameter by ⅛" thick. Two magnets **3200a** and **3200b** are shown with north poles facing each other thereby producing a repel force that varies indirectly with separation distance. Correlated magnetics technology removes this limiting assumption by enabling the programming of magnetic devices to precisely prescribe magnetic fields and therefore magnet behaviors. Specifically, magnet designers can now use patterns of grouped and/or alternating magnetic elements—or maxels—that behave individually like dipole magnets, but can exhibit many different behaviors as a whole. The shape of a force profile is controlled by a number of design parameters, including the total number of magnetic elements, polarity, amplitude, and the size, shape and location of the maxels (field emission sources). The amount of maxel polarity variation per unit area (code density) on a magnet surface affects the level of the peak force at contact. The code density also affects the residual level of force at the far-field and the rate of decay, or slope, of the force curve. As the code density increases, so does the peak attraction force. However, the attraction force decays more rapidly, and the far-field force is significantly reduced. Thus, in stark contrast to the conventional magnets, the custom designed magnetic fields employing correlated

magnetics technology can exhibit a stronger peak force with a very short 'throw,' rendering a much safer magnetic device.

FIG. 33 depicts multiple force curves produced by varying the code density of the maxels programmed into the magnet pair using instances of a simple alternating polarity code. In this case, the material is NdFeB N42-grade $\frac{3}{4}$ " square magnets at a thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ " and code density is varied from conventional magnet (code density=1) to 256 maxels on the coded magnet surface. While code density affects the severity of the slope of the force curve, as well as peak and far-field force levels, the maxel size, shape and amplitude affect the engagement distance of the forces programmed into the magnet pair. Moreover, as previously described, opposing forces can be employed simultaneously (attract and repel), providing the designer the ability to impart inflections into the force curve. The amplitude of each maxel is adjusted by varying the input power on the induction coil as the magnets are being 'printed/manufactured' which in turn affects the shape of the force curve. The attract and repel forces can be increased or decreased and the inflection point can be prescribed to meet specific application requirements.

FIG. 34 depicts the force profile for two magnets **3400a** and **3400b** programmed with repel and snap behavior, whereby complementary maxel patterns have been printed onto conventional magnets to achieve two force curves. This profile demonstrates a multi-level magnetism where the repel force increases, peaks and then transitions to an attract force as the pair of coded magnets **3400a** and **3400b** approach each other. This programmable force behavior empowers design engineers to prescribe precise damping and resistance behavior for products, components and subsystems, and it enables the creation of cushioning devices with deterministic weight support characteristics. The correlated magnetics multi-force devices represent an enabling technology for improvement to vibration damping fixtures, shock absorbers, hospital beds, child- and animal-proof switches and latches, micro-switches and more.

FIG. 35 illustrates the effect of varying input power on the shape of the force profiles. The amount of input power used to produce the attractive force is 175V (line **3502**) and 200V (line **3504**) with the repel force unaltered. For comparison, the force curve for conventional magnets is also shown (line **3506**).

FIGS. **36A-36D** shows several multi-level repel and snap demonstrators **3602**, **3604**, **3606** and **3608** that highlight the functional differences between conventional magnets and coded magnets, where disk magnets adhered to the bottom surface of four solid cylinders interact in a manner similar to springs with magnets fitted at the bottom of four cylindrical tubes. The force curves for each cylinder **3602**, **3604**, **3606** and **3608** describe the nature of the repel force experienced as the magnets travel vertically down the shaft.

The far-left cylinder **3602** features two conventional magnets that exhibit a progressively-stiffer resistance as the magnets approach contact. The other three cylinders **3604** (repel and snap 175V), **3606** (repel and snap 200V) and **3608** (repel and snap w/spacer) each feature multi-level repel and snap programmed magnet pairs that provide a progressively stiffer resistance up to an inflection point at approximately $\frac{9}{10}$ of an inch from surface contact. At this point, the resistive force declines and actually transitions to an attract force at approximately two-tenths of an inch from surface contact, where the magnet pair then snap together and bond. The difference in resistance offered by the higher and lower power attract-force codes can be noticeably felt. The far-right cylinder **3608** illustrates a 'breakaway cushion' behavior. The cylinder travel is limited by a spacer such that the magnet pair cannot

enter the attract force region. The net effect is that the repel force declines to near zero, yet the cylinder will return to its starting position when released. Thus, new cushioning devices can be designed to give way after a prescribed force is reached.

Because force curves are now programmable, designers can tailor the magnetic behavior to match application requirements and to support new magnet applications. Magnets may now include combinations of attract and repel forces that enable entirely new application areas. Programming magnets and their force curves provides a powerful new capability for product innovation and increased efficiencies across industry. Generally, a plurality of regions having different force curves can be configured to work together to produce a tailored composite force curve. The composite force curve could, for example, have a flat portion that represented a constant force over some range of separation distance such that the devices acted similar to a very long spring. Moreover, as previously described, maxels can be printed onto conventional magnets thereby putting surface fields onto them. By putting a thin correlated magnetic layer on top of an already magnetized substrate the bulk field is projected into the far field and the correlated magnetic surface effects modify the force curve in the near field.

In accordance with an embodiment of the present invention, the multi-level contactless attachment devices can be used to make doors and drawers that are quiet since they can be designed such that doors, cabinet doors, and drawers will close and magnetically attach yet not make contact. FIGS. **37A-37C** depict an exemplary cabinet **3702**, cabinet door **3704**, hinges **3706** and **3708** and magnetic structures **3710** and **3712** having multi-level contactless attachment coding that would cause them to close but not completely thus making them quiet closing. In this example, the magnetic structures **3710** and **3712** are coded for multi-level contactless attachment. If desired, the magnetic structures **3710** and **3712** can be located in overlap regions **3714** where the cabinet door **3704** overlaps the cabinet **3702**. The magnetic structures **3710** and **3712** can be attached to the cabinet **3702** and cabinet door **3704** by adhesive, nails, screws etc. . . . Plus, a spacer **3716** could be used to prevent magnet contact if too much force is used to close the cabinet door **3704** (e.g., slamming). If desired, an installation guide **3718** can be used when installing the magnetic structures **3710** and **3712** to the cabinet **3702** and cabinet door **3704**.

FIGS. **38A-38B** depicts two magnets **3802** and **3804** coded to have multi-level repel and snap behavior and having a spacer **3806** in between them with an attract layer **3810** and a repel layer **3812**. A force **3814** can be applied on one side to overcome the repel force so the two magnets **3802** and **3804** snap together with the spacer **3806** in between them. Then, if a force **3816** is applied to a side of one of the magnets **3802** (for example) that causes that magnet **3802** to pivot on the spacer **3806** then this will cause the magnets **3802** and **3804** to repel each other (e.g., explode apart). Thus, this arrangement provides a relatively unstable device that will remain together until it receives an impact of some sort causing the two magnets **3802** and **3804** to fly apart (e.g., much like an explosion). As such, various types of toys (exploding toys), triggers, and the like can be produced that employ such a device. The size, thickness, shape, and other aspects of the spacer **3806** can be varied to determine the degree of instability of the device. Such a device can also serve as a form of energy storage device whereby a lot of force can be released with very little applied force.

In accordance with another aspect of the present invention, an external force applied to at least one magnetic structure

31

making up a multi-level device may change as a result of heat, pressure, or some other external factor other than physical force. For example, a bimetallic strip connected to a multi-level device may be used to produce the desired hysteresis of a thermostat or of a first suppression system trigger device. Similarly, pressure might cause a multi-level device to go from a close position to an open position enabling gas to escape a vessel.

In accordance with a further aspect of the present invention, the ability to vary the forces between two magnetic structures in a non-linear manner by varying their relative alignment and via multi-level magnetism that varies as a function of separation distance enables entirely new types of simple machines that include the six classical simple machines (i.e., lever, wheel and axle, pulley, inclined plane, wedge, and screw). Generally new non-linear design dimensions enable force characteristics to be varied for given distances and alignments. Furthermore, new types of complex machines are now possible based on combinations of new simple machines. FIG. 39 depicts an exemplary complex machine 3900 involving a bar 3902 having one end pivoting on a surface 3904 and a pulley 3906 on an opposite end from which a weight 3908 is suspended via a rope 3910 or the like. At a point along the bar 3902 a force 3912 is applied by a magnetic force component 3914 which is two or more magnetic structures coded to produce a desired force versus distance curve. By using different magnetic structures having different force versus distances curves (e.g., force curves) different functionalities of the complex machine 3900 can be produced. For example, if a force curve is programmed that exhibits a sinusoidal function with extension then the force on the weight 3908 will be linear over the range in which that curve is accurate, simulating the effect of a very long spring.

From the foregoing, one skilled in the art will appreciate that the present invention includes a multilevel correlated magnetic system comprising: (a) a first correlated magnetic structure including a first portion which has a plurality of coded magnetic sources and a second portion which has one or more magnetic sources; (b) a second correlated magnetic structure including a first portion which has a plurality of complementary coded magnetic sources and a second portion which has one or more magnetic sources; (c) wherein the first correlated magnetic structure is aligned with the second correlated magnetic structure such that the first portions and the second portions are respectively located across from one another; and (d) wherein the first portions each produce a higher peak force than the second portions while the first portions each have a faster field extinction rate than the second portions such that (1) the first portions produce a magnetic force that is cancelled by a magnetic force produced by the second portions when the first and second correlated magnetic structures are separated by a distance equal to a transition distance, (2) the first portions produce a stronger magnetic force than the magnetic force produced by the second portions when the first and second correlated magnetic structures have a separation distance from one another that is less than the transition distance, and (3) the first portions have a weaker magnetic force than the magnetic force produced by second portions when the separation distance between the first and second correlated magnetic structures is greater than the transition distance.

In one example, the first correlated magnetic structure's plurality of coded magnetic sources include first field emission sources and the second correlated magnetic structure's plurality of complementary coded magnetic sources include second field emission sources, each field emission sources having positions and polarities relating to a desired spatial

32

force function that corresponds to a relative alignment of the first and second correlated magnetic structures within a field domain, wherein the spatial force function being in accordance with a code, where the code corresponding to a code modulo of the first field emission sources and a complementary code modulo of the second field emission sources. The code defining a peak spatial force corresponding to a substantial alignment of the code modulo of the first field emission sources with the complementary code modulo of the second field emission sources, wherein the code also defining a plurality of off peak spatial forces corresponding to a plurality of different misalignments of the code modulo of the first field emission sources and the complementary code modulo of the second field emission sources, wherein the plurality of off peak spatial forces having a largest off peak spatial force, where the largest off peak spatial force being less than half of the peak spatial force.

FIG. 40A depicts a retractable magnet assembly 400 configured to limit a magnetic field present at a measurement location 402 when a first magnet 404 is in a retracted state (see top figure). The retractable magnet assembly 400 includes a containment vessel 406 in which the first magnet 404 can move from a retracted position (see top figure) to an engagement position (see bottom figure) and vice versa. When in the retracted position, the first magnet 404 may be attracted to an optional piece of metal 408 or another magnet 410 or to shielding 412. Moreover, if the piece of metal 408 and shielding 412 are used, an appropriate balance must be achieved since both the shielding 412 and the metal 408 would attract the first magnet 404. Depending on the orientation of the retractable magnet assembly 400, the first magnet 404 may move to the retracted position based on gravity when not in proximity with another magnet 410 or metal 408. Optionally, a bias magnetic field could be applied to cause the first magnet 404 to move to the retracted position. The bias magnetic field can be provided an electromagnet located either inside or outside the containment vessel 406 (see e.g., FIG. 41D). Alternatively, a permanent magnet 414 located outside the containment vessel 406 can be used to apply a biased magnetic field. When a second magnet 414 (or metal) is brought close to the front of the containment vessel 406 the first magnet 404 inside moves to the engagement position, which may be in contact with the second magnet 414 (or metal) or may be in contact with an intermediate layer 416 or a shielding layer 412 that may or may not be a saturable shielding layer (e.g., permalloy)(see FIG. 40B). As shown in FIG. 40B, the containment vessel 406 may include within it the intermediate layer 416 (i.e., a layer between the containment vessel 406 and the first magnet 404) on one or more (including all) sides to limit the magnetic field in a given direction and may include shielding 412 one or more (including all) sides. One skilled in the art will recognize that a non-saturable shielding layer 412 will have a more gradual transition from shielding to field transparency when brought into proximity of a coded magnet, whereas a saturable shielding layer 412 will have a more abrupt transition from shielding to field transparency. In a preferred embodiment the magnet 404 will engage a thin saturable shielding layer 412 allowing additional magnetism (i.e., beyond that required to saturate the saturable shielding layer) to engage the second magnet 414 (or metal) while the shielding 412 would otherwise substantially shield the environment outside the containment vessel 406 from the magnetic field of the magnet 404 it contains. The magnet 404 within the containment vessel 406 can be a conventional magnet or a coded magnet including one having a repel-snap behavior or a hover-snap behavior.

33

FIG. 40C depicts an exemplary method 420 for designing the retractable magnet assembly 400 of FIGS. 40A-40B. The retractable magnet 404 in accordance with the invention may be a conventional magnet or a coded magnet. If the retractable magnet 404 is a coded magnet it will have a spatial function relative to another magnet 414 and also the magnet 404 (by itself) will have a resultant (or composite) field strength vs. separation distance curve relative to a measurement location 402 near the magnet 404. Generally, the resultant field strength vs. separation distance depends on the coding of the magnet 404 and the location of the measurement location 402 as well as other characteristics of the magnet 404 such as the grade of material, maxel size, maxel shape, maxel strength, etc (step 422). But, once a coded magnet's resultant field strength vs. separation distance curve is determined relative to a measurement location 402, it can be used to identify a required separation distance that will result in limiting the magnetic field to meet a field criteria (e.g., maximum allowed external field strength) at that measurement location 402 (step 424). Once that required separation distance is determined, a retractable magnet assembly 400 can be designed such that the magnet 404 can retract at least the determined required separation distance (step 426).

FIG. 41A depicts magnets 4100 and 4102 having multi-level repel-snap or hover-snap behavior being used to attach two objects 4104 and 4106. The two objects 4104 and 4106 having coded magnets 4100 and 4102 integrated beneath their surfaces (as indicated by the dashed lines) are shown in a non-attached orientation (top figure) and an attached orientation (bottom figure). One skilled in the art will recognize that the magnet pairs 4100 and 4102 do not have to be integrated into objects 4104 and 4106 and that they can be otherwise attached to the objects 4104 and 4106. Moreover, the magnetic structures 4100 and 4102 could comprise different shapes, involve multiple smaller magnets arranged to produce the proper behavior, and all sorts of other variations are possible to practice the invention.

FIG. 41B depicts an exemplary disengagement/engagement tool 4108 that can be used to cause the repel-snap or the hover-snap magnets 4100 and 4102 of FIG. 41A to separate thereby allowing separation of the two objects 4104 and 4106 or to cause them to snap together to attach to objects 4104 and 4106. Generally, the repel-snap and hover-snap magnets 4100 and 4102 will transition from a given state to another given application of a force or application of a bias magnetic field. As such, a disengagement/engagement tool 4108 can be designed relative to the design of a given magnet pair 4100 and 4102 so as to apply an appropriate bias magnet field to change the state of the magnet pair 4100 and 4102. The tool 4108 could involve a permanent magnet(s) 4110a and could involve an electromagnet(s) 4110b that could be switchable on-and-off and otherwise allow control (variable control) of polarity and field strength. Although a handle 4112 is shown configured on the backside of the tool 4108, one skilled in the art would recognize that a handle 4112 isn't required and that one or more handles could be configured to allow either end of a permanent magnet 4110a to be applied so as to transition magnet pairs 4100 and 4102 from either a closed state to an open state or vice versa. Additionally, the bias field of the tool 4108 may itself be coded such that it will function properly only when in a desired orientation with the magnet pair 4100 and 4102. As such, the coding of the magnet pair 4100 and 4102 and the coding of the tool 4108 must match much like a lock and key.

FIG. 41C depicts an exemplary electromagnet 4114 located at a fixed location in proximity to an attachment apparatus such as depicted in FIG. 41A, where the electro-

34

magnet 4114 can be used to change the state of a repel-snap magnet pair 4100 and 4102 or a hover-snap magnet pair 4100 and 4102. As shown, a control button 4116 would activate the electromagnet 4114 by supplying electricity from a power source (e.g., a battery)(not shown) that would cause the electromagnet 4114 to produce the necessary bias field to cause the magnet pair 4100 and 4102 to disengage thereby detaching the objects 4104 and 4106 (note: the disengagement/engagement tool 4108 in FIG. 41B may also have a control button 4116 to activate the electromagnet 4110b). Such an arrangement would allow for quick installation of panels having no visible means for opening and then quick detachment using the tool 4108. Similarly, attaching two objects 4104 and 4106 may require the tool 4108 to cause the magnet pair 4100 and 4102 to snap after the two objects 4104 and 4106 are brought together, for example, if one of the magnets 4100 of the magnet pair 4100 and 4102 was configured in a retractable magnet assembly. The electromagnet 4114 shown in FIG. 41C is located behind the magnet 4100 but one skilled in the art will recognize that all sorts of configurations are possible to control one or more electromagnets 4114 to produce one or more bias fields used to vary the state of one or more magnet pairs 4100 and 4102 having repel-snap or hover-snap behaviors.

FIG. 41D depicts an exemplary enclosure 4130 whereby a given magnet 4132 of a repel-snap magnet pair 4132 and 4134 or a hover-snap magnet pair 4132 and 4134 can move to one side 4136 of the enclosure 4130 when 'snapped' to the other magnet 4134 and can move to the other side 4138 of the enclosure 4130 when 'repelled' away from the other magnet 4134. The enclosure 4130 is much like the retractable magnet assembly 4000 of FIGS. 40A-40C except an electromagnet 4140 is shown at the back of the containment vessel 4130 in place of a magnetic strip, which is not required given the repel forces and/or the hover location can be sufficient to keep the magnet in its retracted position. The electromagnet 4140 can be controlled to apply a bias field to cause the magnet 4132 to retract and to move forward to an engagement (snapped) position.

FIGS. 42A and 42B depict alternative stacked multi-level structures 4200a and 4200b intended to produce a click on-click off behavior much like certain ball-point pens. The behavior is similar to the repeatable hysteresis behavior described previously except it is desirable that the bottom pair of magnets and remain attached (snapped together) until purposely disengaged by the application of force. In FIG. 42A a middle magnet (magnet 2) can be a conventional magnet whereby magnets 1 and 3 are coded to produce repel snap behavior when interacting with magnet 2. Magnet 1 also has a spacer 4202a. Many other alternative coding methods can also be employed that result in magnet 2 having repel snap behavior with both magnets 1 and 3. In FIG. 42B, magnets 2 and 3 are attached using an intermediate layer 4202b such that they move together as one object yet otherwise independently interact with magnets 1 and 4 respectively such that both magnets 1 and 2 and magnets 3 and 4 exhibit repel snap behavior.

FIG. 42C depicts the click on-click off behavior of the stacked multi-level structure 4200a of FIG. 42A (the same behavior would apply to the stacked multi-level structure 4200b of FIG. 42B). First, a force 4200 is applied to magnet 1 which causes the middle magnet 2 to move downward until the bottom pair of magnets 2 and 3 snap together (step 1). The force 4200 is removed and the top magnet 1 is repelled upward to a location lower than its initial location (step 2). When a force 4202 is re-applied to magnet 1 to an extent that the top magnet 1 begin to engage, the attraction between the

35

top two magnets 1 and 2 causes the bottom two magnets 2 and 3 to disengage. As the bottom two magnets 2 and 3 disengage the repel force between the bottom two magnets 2 and 3 acts as a bias field causing the top two magnets 1 and 2 to also disengage thereby returning the magnet structure 4200a to its initial state (step 3). As such, the behavior can be described as a click on-click off behavior. One skilled in the art will recognize that various techniques can be applied to include additional bias fields, use of a spring, using of travel limiting devices, use of different sized magnets where overlapping regions and tabs are used to disrupt magnets such that they disengage, etc.

The pulsed magnetic field generation systems described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/476,952, filed Jun. 2, 2009, titled "A field emission system and method", which is incorporated herein by reference, produces magnetic sources called maxels. The magnetization of the maxels depends on many factors including the grade of magnetizable material, the sintering of the material, the size and other characteristics of the magnetizing inductor (or print head), the thickness of the material, the current used to magnetize the maxel, and so on. To achieve a maxel having a desired diameter, one may have to lower the current used since once the material being magnetized becomes saturated at the maxel location, additional magnetization will cause the maxel to expand or bleed outward causing it to have a larger diameter. In accordance with the invention, additional magnetizable material can be placed in contact with the material being magnetized to enable a high current to be applied so that any excess magnetization will transition into the additional magnetizable material. Additionally, various alternative approaches exist for affecting the magnetization of a maxel including having a template beneath the material having predefined magnetization characteristics, having external magnetic field sources intended to bias (or steer) the magnetization of a maxel, having various combinations of abruptly saturable shielding materials (e.g., Permalloy) and/or slowly saturating shielding materials like iron or steel.

It is desirable to have cylindrically shaped magnetizable material that could be magnetized where the domain alignment would be radially symmetric from the center of the cylinder much like spokes on a wagon wheel. Such material could then be fully magnetized using the pulsed magnetic field generation system (i.e., the magnetizer) of the invention to produce a pattern of maxels around the outside of the cylinder without requiring variation of the current used to produce each maxel. However, if cylindrically shaped magnetizable material is fabricated to have diametric domain alignment then one can take into account the angle of the domain alignment of the material to the direction of magnetization by the magnetizer print head and vary the current of the maxels to normalize maxel field strengths, for example, half the current might be applied along the direction (or axis) of domain alignment than is applied ninety degrees off the axis of domain alignment.

One application of correlated magnets is an anti-kick blade release mechanism for a saw whereby when a blade bites into an object, e.g., wood, such that it would become locked and would otherwise kick the blade up and/or the object out, the blade would disengage. The saw could also be made to automatically turn off upon this occurrence.

Another application of correlated magnets is with flying model aircraft which would allow portions such as wings to be easily attached to enable flying but easily detached for storage and transport.

36

Below are some additional ideas for devices incorporating correlated magnetism technology.

Stackable forks, spoons, knives, plates, and bowls:

Allows utensils to stack better in drawers

Less wear and tear when stacked

Less noise when putting utensils away or getting them out

Provides spacing so that cleaning is more easily performed by dishwashers

Showers and shower storage devices—keeps storage in place and can be removed for easy cleanup of shower. The problem with traditional shower storage is that it's kept in place via suction and/or friction, both of which are unreliable methods of keeping a shower implement in place. Additionally, once you get the implement to "stick", the last thing you want to do is remove that item for cleaning. If shower liner/insert manufacturers and tile manufacturers can embed coded magnets into their products, then all sorts of accessories can be made to mount to the side of shower or any bathroom or kitchen wall surface that's constructed of such material. Examples of accessories include soap dishes, shampoo bottle shelves, towel racks, waterproof radios, mirrors, etc.

Construction/farm equipment and accessories—same as above but for heavy equipment and farm implements—in farm implements and heavy machinery, the need exists for cup holders, tool holders, and various other accessories that could be held if the various pieces of metal on this machinery were programmed to accept CM based accessories.

Embedded into little league baseball home plates throughout the country to support the installation of tees for t-ball. In today's t-ball world, coaches must supply their own tee because putting a hole in the middle of the traditional home plate is unsightly and potentially unsafe. By fitting the traditional home plate with a CM technology and a simple drawn circle, the t-ball tee can be attached to the same home plate that coach- and player-pitch little league can use. The magnetic force will be strong enough to support the ball, but will break away (by design) so that they kids can get used to a "real" home plate (rather than dodging the tee when they approach home from third base. Additionally, the tee can easily (and more cheaply) be replaced since it is the piece that receives the most damage from the swings of inexperienced players.

Sealing coffins, vaults, and crypts

Farm equipment power take off (PTO) quick connect. Includes native operation as well as adapters for existing equipment.

Screws with correlated magnetic heads that are matched to screwdriver bits so that the bit can be "dipped" into a box of these screws for hands free placement and alignment of screw to screwdriver. Same as above with nails/hammers and other fasteners/tools.

Car roof racks (and other external automotive accessories).

License plates—probably on for vanity plates initially

Expandable dumbbell set

Built-in coded magnets in standard kitchen appliances to allow a whole host of accessories to be developed—similar to the car rack concept, towel racks and other accessories could be mounted.

Adapter hardware for standard fastener sizes—enables coded magnet products to be mounted where traditional objects would normally be screwed or bolted.

Street and road signs that “break away”—For safety purposes, the majority of highway road signs are designed to break off or shear when hit with extreme force (such as a motor vehicle accident. These are typically installed by connecting a piece of the pole that’s been buried in concrete with the top section of a pole (with sign) using 4 to 8 small bolts. These bolts (and the associated labor to install them) can be replaced by CM technology.

Patient levitation beds based on magnetic repulsion to reduce/eliminate bedsores during hospital stays. Magnets would be built into a patient carrier which would then be supported and held in place by corresponding magnets on the bed.

Patient gurney which uses correlated magnets to lock it into place inside the ambulance. Replaces conventional locks which are subject to spring wear, dirt, corrosion, etc.

Patient restraining device using correlated magnets. Could use keyed magnets on patient clothing and corresponding magnets on a chair, etc.

Engine or motor mounts which use multi-level contactless attachment devices to reduce or eliminate vibration.

Easily removable seat pads.

Boot/shoe fasteners to eliminate strings or Velcro.

Self-aligning hitch for trailers.

Elevator door lock to replace conventional mechanical locks.

Keyed magnet spare tire mount.

Interchangeable shoe soles (sports shoes, personal wear, etc.)

Light bulb bases to replace screw mounts.

Oven rotisserie using slow-motor technology.

Kitchen microwave rotating platform using slow-motor technology.

No-contact clutch plate, eliminating wearable, friction plates.

Longer-lasting exercise bike using variable opposing magnets (eliminating friction-based components).

Purse clasp.

Keyed gate latch.

Using linear magnets to stop runaway elevators or other mechanical devices.

After-market coaxial cable, with end caps that screw on to the tv and wall plate and stay, and a cable that magnetically attaches to those end caps.

Industrial gas cylinder caps that are magnetic instead of the current threaded caps that are exceedingly difficult to use. Magnetic caps would be coded such that all O₂ bottle caps work on all O₂ bottles, all CO₂ caps work on CO₂ bottles, etc.

Biomedical Applications:

Use of contactless attachment capability for the interface between mechanical and a biological elements and for the interface between two biological elements. The reason is that if there is too much pressure placed on biological tissue like skin it impedes the capillaries feeding the tissue and will cause it to die within an hour. This phenomenon, ischemic pressure necrosis, makes interfacing mechanical and biological elements—and often two biological elements that you don’t want to permanently join via stitches or other methods, very difficult. The contactless attachment might be a powerful tool to address this problem. Potential applications identified for mechanical to biological attachment included attaching prosthetics where one of the magnets is implanted under the skin, attaching external miniature pumps, and as ways to hold dental implants, something to avoid

grinding in TMJ, and as a way to hold dentures in place and aligned. For biological to biological attachment, the ideas included magnets implanted in the soft palate and the bone above for sleep apnea, and use to address urinary incontinence. CM might be the basis of a valve at the top of the stomach that is able to be overcome with swallowing to address acid reflux.

Magnetically controlled transmural necrosis for creating gastrojejunostomy for people with morbid obesity. The idea is that you could swallow one magnet and wait until it gets to the right part of the intestine and then you would swallow another. Once the second got into the stomach, it would align and connect to the first causing necrosis of all the tissue in between and creating a bypass between the stomach and the intestine. It would be similar to the surgery people get today but wouldn’t require surgery.

Implanting a CM with a contactless attachment in someone’s sinuses who have chronic sinus issues. You could then hold another CM up to your cheek to get the sinus to distend and help fluid inside to flow.

Use CMs as transducers for hearing aids.

CM-based rehab equipment.

CMs that could start out magnetic but lose that ability over time and the opposite, where they start out nonmagnetic but become magnetic over time. One could swallow magnets to do a job and at some point they would release and exit the body. Or, they could be in the body until they got to a certain place, at which they would attach. Could add a battery and small electromagnet bias magnet to a CM to be able to control it. Could put a dissolving material around the magnets that might degrade over time so that it let the magnet do something different once the material was gone.

prosthetic attachment—snap on, turn to remove

joint replacement (knee, spinal discs, etc)—with contactless attachment so no wear

joint positioning (spinal discs, etc)—use alignment to make sure stay in place

breakaway pad—use breakaway spring capability to eliminate hotspots and thus bedsores

gene sorting—more advanced gene sorting than possible with conventional magnets

Rehab equipment—magnet controlled forces for rehab equipment

placement of feeding tube—guide a nasal feeding tube from outside body through stomach and into intestine

drug targeting—tag drugs (or stem cells, etc) with magnetic materials and direct them to a specific place in the body

Flow control devices—precision dispensing using controlled valve

Control contamination—gears, separators, etc. that don’t touch to avoid cross contamination

Seal-less valves

Pumps (heart, etc)—potential to design novel pumps with new attributes

Although multiple embodiments of the present invention have been illustrated in the accompanying Drawings and described in the foregoing Detailed Description, it should be understood that the present invention is not limited to the disclosed embodiments, but is capable of numerous rearrangements, modifications and substitutions without departing from the invention as set forth and defined by the following claims. It should also be noted that the reference to the “present invention” or “invention” used herein relates to

exemplary embodiments and not necessarily to every embodiment that is encompassed by the appended claims.

The invention claimed is:

1. A multilevel correlated magnetic system, comprising:
 - a first correlated magnetic structure including a first portion which has a plurality of coded magnetic sources and a second portion which has one or more magnetic sources;
 - a second correlated magnetic structure including a first portion which has a plurality of anti-complementary coded magnetic sources and a second portion which has one or more magnetic sources;
 wherein the first correlated magnetic structure is aligned with the second correlated magnetic structure such that the first portions and the second portions are respectively located across from one another; and
 - a tool that applies a bias magnet field to cause a transition of the first and second magnetic structures from a closed state in which the first and second magnetic structures are attached to an open state in which the first and second magnetic structures are separated.
2. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 1, wherein the tool further applies another bias magnet field to cause a transition of the first and second magnetic structures from the open state to the closed state.
3. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 1, the tool comprises one or more permanent magnets.
4. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 1, the tool comprises one or more electromagnets.
5. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 1, the tool applies a coded bias field so that the tool function will function only when in a desired orientation with the first and second magnetic structures.
6. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 1, wherein the first portions produce a repel magnetic force and the second portions produce an attractive magnetic force such that (1) the first and second correlated magnetic structures repel one another when separated by a distance greater than a transition distance, (2) the first and second correlated magnetic structures neither repel or attract one another when separated by a distance equal to the transition distance, and (3) the first and second correlated magnetic structures attract one another when separated by a distance less than the transition distance.
7. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 1, wherein the second portions each produce a higher peak force than the first portions while the second portions each have a faster field extinction rate than the first portions such that (1) the second portions produce a magnetic force that is cancelled by a magnetic force produced by the first portions when the first and second correlated magnetic structures are separated by a distance equal to the transition distance, (2) the second portions produce a stronger magnetic force than the magnetic force produced by the first portions when the first and second correlated magnetic structures have a separation distance from one another that is less than the transition distance, and (3) the second portions have a weaker magnetic force than the magnetic force produced by first portions when the separation distance between the first and second correlated magnetic structures is greater than the transition distance.
8. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 7, wherein the first and second correlated magnetic structures are snapped together when separated by the distance less than the transition distance then if one of the first or second correlated magnetic structures are turned relative to the other then the first and second correlated magnetic structures repel one another.

9. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 1, further comprising one or more movement constraining structures which attach the first correlated magnetic structure to the second correlated magnetic structure such that the one or more movement constraining structures only allow the first and second correlated magnetic structures to move towards and away from one another while ensuring the first and second correlated magnetic structures are parallel to each other.

10. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 1, further comprising a spacer attached to the first correlated magnetic structure or the second correlated magnetic structure to prevent the first correlated magnetic structure from completely contacting the second correlated magnetic structure.

11. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 1, wherein:

the first correlated magnetic structure comprises the first portion, the second portion, and a third portion, wherein the third portion has a plurality of coded magnetic sources;

the second correlated magnetic structure comprises the first portion, the second portion, and a third portion, wherein the third portion has a plurality of coded magnetic sources; and

wherein the first correlated magnetic structure is aligned with the second correlated magnetic structure such that the first portions, the second portions, and the third portions are respectively located across from one another.

12. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 1, wherein the second portion of the first correlated magnetic structure comprises a plurality of coded magnetic sources, and the second portion of the second correlated magnetic structure comprises a plurality of complementary coded magnetic sources.

13. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 1, wherein the plurality of coded magnetic sources comprises first field emission sources and the plurality of anti-complementary coded magnetic sources comprises second field emission sources, each field emission sources having positions and polarities relating to a desired spatial force function that corresponds to a relative alignment of the first and second correlated magnetic structures within a field domain, wherein the spatial force function being in accordance with a code, where the code corresponding to a code modulo of the first field emission sources and an anti-complementary code modulo of the second field emission sources.

14. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 13, wherein the code defines a peak spatial force corresponding to a substantial alignment of the code modulo of the first field emission sources with the anti-complementary code modulo of the second field emission sources, wherein the code also defines a plurality of off peak spatial forces corresponding to a plurality of different misalignments of the code modulo of the first field emission sources and the anti-complementary code modulo of the second field emission sources, wherein the plurality of off peak spatial forces have a largest off peak spatial force, where the largest off peak spatial force is less than half of the peak spatial force.

15. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 13, wherein said positions and said polarities of each of said field emission sources are determined in accordance with at least one correlation function.

16. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 15, wherein said at least one correlation function is in accordance with the code.

41

17. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 16, wherein said code is one of a pseudorandom code, a deterministic code, or a designed code.

18. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 16, wherein said code is one of a one dimensional code, a two dimensional code, a three dimensional code, or a four dimensional code.

19. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 13, wherein each of said field emission sources has a corresponding field emission amplitude and vector direction determined in accordance with the desired spatial force function, wherein a separation distance between the first and second magnetic field emission structures and relative alignment of the first and second correlated magnetic structures creates a spatial force in accordance with the desired spatial force function.

20. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 19, wherein said spatial force comprises at least one of an attractive spatial force or a repellant spatial force.

21. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 13, wherein said field domain corresponds to first magnetic field

42

emissions from said field emission sources of said first field emission structure interacting with second magnetic field emissions from said second field emission sources of said second magnetic field emission structure.

22. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 13, wherein said polarities of the field emission sources comprises at least one of North-South polarities or positive-negative polarities.

23. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 13, wherein at least one of said field emission sources comprises a magnetic field emission source or an electric field emission source.

24. The multilevel correlated magnetic system of claim 13, wherein at least one of said field emission sources comprises a permanent magnet, an electromagnet, an electret, a magnetized ferromagnetic material, a portion of a magnetized ferromagnetic material, a soft magnetic material, or a superconductive magnetic material.

* * * * *